EXHIBIT B PART 4

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 Pg 2 of 110 Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 8 of 39 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Detach along this edge and return in the reply envelope. Stanley Stasko 381-68-1710 Fabrication G.M. Engineering Staff, Warren, Mich of test 8-78 8-70 Summer job only 9/7/78 8/14/79
Co-op Student, then as Learner-Lab Aide
Special Separation - termination of temporary summer employment ** Yes **Previous to his temporary summer assignment, Mr. Stasko was a high school co-op student. E. M. Poppleton, Staff Assistant Tech Center Personnel Admin.

(REV.	09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Case 2:09-cv 4-627-4ACE MPI 0 PAGENOF 1185 Monroe, Dearborn,	REQURITY CO 12/17/09 Page 12/1	:53 B Part 4 ge 9 of 39/0. No07-9
	REQUEST FOR INFORMATION INELIGIBILITY OR DIS		coil in
то:	EMPLOYER G.M. ENGINEERING STAFF	RE: CLAIMANT STASKO,	STANLEY
	12 Mile & Mound NUMBER AND STREET Warren, MI CITY-STATE-ZIP CODE	S.S. No. 381 68 1 DEPT. and BADGE No.	.710
i. 	The claim for unemployment benefits filed by this claimant is bei Please answer the specific question(s) listed below and in addition in the proper disposition of the issue(s) involved. If necessary, use the You are required to complete and return this form within seven days qualified. If a reply is not received within seven days, a determination of the retained for your files.	 furnish any pertinent information value reverse side of this form. even though you are of the opinion to 	which you believe would be helpfo hat the claimant should not be dis
	What was the reason for claimant's separation?		
	·+,	1 1	

Reason for Claimant's Separation: Mr. Stasko was given a special separation at the conclusion of his temporary summer assignment and is planning on starting college. Previous to his temporary summer assignment, Mr. Stasko was a high-school co-op student. Engineering Staff, General Motors Corporation Englover's Name Mr. Stasko was given a special separation at the conclusion of his temporary summer assignment and is planning on starting college. Previous to his temporary summer assignment, Mr. Stasko was a high-school co-op student.	DATE 8/X21,	Year Employment and Claims Intervi	ewer
Reason for Claimant's Separation: Mr. Stasko was given a special separation at the conclusion of his temporary summer assignment and is planning on starting college. Previous to his temporary summer assignment, Mr. Stasko was a high-school co-op student. Engineering Staff, General Motors Corporation Employer's Name Mr. Stasko was given a special separation at the conclusion of his temporary summer assignment and is planning on starting college. Previous to his temporary summer assignment, Mr. Stasko was a high-school co-op student.	Claimant's First Day Worked:9-7-78	Last Day Worked:8-14-79 Date of Removal From Payroll:	8-14-79
Engineering Staff, General Motors Corporation Employer's Name By Authorized Signature	Reason for Claimant's Separation: Mr. Separati	tasko was given a special separation at the conclusion of I	
Employer's Name Authorized Signature	Temporary summer assign	mem, Mr. Stasko was a night-school co-op stodent.	3 5
Employer's Name Authorized Signature			19 15
Employer's Name Authorized Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and	
Employer's Name Authorized Signature			
Employer's Name Authorized Signature		er in display to	·^ ·
Employer's Name Authorized Signature		^*	Ģ
Employer's Name Authorized Signature	Engineering Staff, General Motors	Corporation Del Philleps	_
8=28=79 Personnel Staff Assistant/			

1)81

09-50026-mg

Doc 9093-5

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION EMPLOYMENT OF SALARIED PERSONNEL

CP-017 Rev. 5-76

UNITEngineering Staff	Warren, Michigan		72 73 78 ①		,	24	CARD SUPPL. AMOUNT	200	33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	SUMMER SOURCE HIGHEST HIGHEST	LU	4 ocu	MINORITY CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE CODE	File	30 33 35 37 40 41 43	COLLEGE COLLEG	9 6	Pag	中で の 大 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	SIGN YOUR MAME EXACTLY AS ABOVE	APPROVED (NOT REQU/RED FOR/SM)TRANSFERS	And Just	of sextimedu	
UNITE	LOCATION]	SISCEN DISTURATE		T0-0-9	66 67 71	ST. ZIP	I 48210	27 28 29 30 31 32	VIET. VET. DIS. VIET. VET. DISAD. YTH. MESC. REF.	Y	72 73 74	AREA OF REP. WORK CODE	8 ES	2	EDUCATION EDUC. EFFECT DATE LEVEL 6-1-78 11								1
REPL.		RARY)	63 64			64 55	CITY	IM	3 22 23 24 25 26	INDICATOR SUPERVISOR VISATYPE VETERAN DIS. VET.	92	60 66 70 71	NO IMO SHIP .	I-11 58	63 1 3 22	-	H 08	H 08		REASON FOR LEAVING				PINK - FMPI OYMENT
O. INCR.	×	(TEMPORARY	1 ACT MAME			51 52	ט	Detroit	69 71	PAY. FREG.	C 35	51 52 55 57	LOCATION	2130 I	r day					POSITION				CHBON
REO. NO	12898		45 46		Stasko			91	0 64 65	SUB BURDEN LEDGER CENTER	i de la companya de l	45 46	GM LOCAL POSITION POSITION CODE CODE	(30)	a 3.8 hour	POSITION TITLE	re Student	3k)	GENERAL MOTORS	P ₀				ANCE CODY
17 18 21 DEPT. NO.	710 I-11		35 36 MIDDLE MARK	WOOLE WANGE	ж.		STREET NAME & APT. NO.		36 39 55 59 60	GROUP GROUP GONTROL LEDGERAL	I	41 42	SALARY NOKCAW PRE PER DIEM	\$15.01* 2E0	4142 *Based on	-	Cooperative		PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT WITH GENE	DIVISION AND LOCATION	_			HONDY ALLIA
SOC, SECURITY NO	381-68-1	1	35	THOS INCHES	Stanley		S	52nd	29 30 31 32 33 35	STATUS CHANGE STATUS OF SEX MAR. STAT. EXMPT. STAT.	-	33 34 35	SALARY CHANGE AMOUNT AMOUNT AMOUNT		35.36	SALARY SALARY MINIMUM MAXIMUM			PRE		None			TMILLAND
CISCO NO.	10001		3 22 25 26	1	Mr. Si	3 22 28 29	HOUSE NO.	4450	3 22 27 28	STATUS CHANGE OATE	9-7-78 HC	3 22 27 28	EFFECTIVE DATE	9-7-78	3 22 27 30	POSITION DATE EFFECTIVE	9-7-78			DM TO Y/YR MO/DAY/YR				TOWN CALL TOWN
	ALL CARUS		3	CARD	A 01	1 3	CARD	C 02		CARD	A 03	1 3	CARD	A 04		CARD	c 05			FROM MO/DAY/YR				J. H.

Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53

B Part 4

March 1,1983

Jann Dagg G.M. Tech. Center Personnel Placement Engineering North Bldg. Warren, Michigan 48090

Dear Ms. Dagg:

It has come to my attention, through the placement office at Lawrence Institute of Technology, that General Motors is looking for a few competitive students to work on engineering assignments during the summer of 1983. Please accept my resume as my application to this interesting and challenging program.

As my resume indicates, I am very interested in System Design and Development- the hardware and/or software aspects. And by June, 1983, I will have completed several courses, (see resume), which have helped me to prepare for my future career.

By June, 1983, I will be four courses short of my Electrical Engineering Degree- three Humanities and one Thermodynamics course. I feel the summer program at General Motors would be an excellent way for me to prove my skills in my field of interest.

If you have any further questions or if an interview can be arranged, please do not hesitate to call me at home anytime-(313) 842-7802.

Sincerely,

Stanley R. Stasko

May 10,1983

Mr. Leo Perazza
Personnel Placement
Engineering Staff North
G. M. Tech. Center
Warren, Michigan 48090

Dear Mr. Perazza:

It has come to my attention, by reading automotive journals, that General Motors is applying state-of-the-art technology (using Microprocessor controlled systems) in its products and its practices. I would like to become part of this interesting and challenging endeavor of General Motors.

While attending Lawrence Institute of Technology, I have concentrated my studies in Electrical Engineering- with a strong Math and Computer Science background. I am very much interested in System Design and Development pertaining to Microprocessors and/or Control Systems. By June 1983, I will have completed several courses in Digital Circuit Design, Electronic Circuit Design, Feedback Control Systems, Microprocessors, and several Computer Science courses in software programming. With this background, and my competitive spirit, I feel I can meet the challenges General Motors has to offer.

Please except my resume as my application to meet the challenges General Motors has to offer. If there is any other information that is needed, or if you have any questions, please contact me at home (842-7802).

Sincerely,

Stanley R. Stasko

R. Starko

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 13 of 39

Stanley R. Stasko 4450 52nd. Street Detroit, Michigan 48210 (313) 842-7802

Objective: Immediate Goal- Entry level work in System Design and Development. (Applied to Microprocessors and/or Control Systems)

Long Term Goal- Management position in System Design and Development.

Education: 1)Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering (Fall, 1983)
2)Bachelor of Science in Math and Computer Science (June, 1984)
Lawrence Institute of Technology
G.P.A. in major field 3.75, overall 3.75 (A=4.00)

Qualifications: Courses completed by June, 1983:

Microprocessors; Electronic Circuits 1,2, and3; Digital Circuit

Design 1,2; Feedback Control Systems 1; Computer Science 1,2

(Assembler, and Simulation and Analysis Techniques); Simulation

Techniques,

Member of Tau Beta Pi (National Engineering Honor Society)

Work Experience: Berti Construction Co., Orlando, Florida
Laborer (Local #517)
Maintainance and preparation of exhibition displays in the World of Motion at the EPCOT Center.
Full-time 6/82 to 9/82

Sears Roebuck and Co., Fairlane Town Center, Dearborn, Michigan Sales person in the Paint and Electrical Department. Part-time 8/79 to 5/82

General Motors Co., Engineering Staff, Warren, Michigan Fabrication and calibration of analog and relay controlled test equipment for emission tests.

Part-time 9/78 to 6/79

Full-time 6/79 to 9/79

Personal Data: Health: Excellent Willing to relocate

References: References and other information available upon request.

GM 1416 5-76 PRINTED U.S.A.

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION

APPLICATION FOR EMPLOYMENT

GENERAL MOTORS IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Ças	se ₁ 2:09-c _l v-	-14	327- JА(}-\/\/\/	Pg 8	3 OT	110 ent 3-3) Eilad i	R 20 / 20	1 100		- 4				
-1710	NO		3			ume	an 3-3	Filed	12/1	1/09	DEGREES TO AWARDED	ge 1. 0:0	4 of 39)	× × ×	× × ×
SOCIAL SECURITY NO. 381-68	YEARS EXPERIENCE YEARS EXPERIENCE WILLING TO WORK ANY SHIFT? YES.		TELEPHONE NO. 872-780	TELEPHONE NO. ALTERNATE	LEGAL RIGHT TO REMAIN PERMANENTLY IN THE U.S.?	IF SO, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING: (Do Not Include Minor Traffic Violations)			TYPE OF DISCHARGE		R FIELD OF STUDY	2012	Enginecting			
Raperz	and Dev. 174416 Pleg. 7-18-83		0788H das	(STATE) (ZIP CODE)	DO YOU HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO REA	MPLETE THE FOLLOWING: (Do N	DISPOSITION		RANK OR RATING		NO. OF YEARS ATTENDED	3 Election	4 Electical			
Tanles	NDED SCHOOL LE 19 STREM DESIGN DESIGNATION DATE AVAILABLE TO START WORK	PERSONAL DATA	Dezpoit Michiga	(51)	JF YOU ARE NOT A U.S. CITIZEN, DO YOU	YES NO	PLACE	U.S. MILITARY SERVICE	01	EDUCATION	SCHOOL AT	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ofTeshnolegy		1	
Staska ST	OTHER NAME (S), IF ANY, UNDER WHICH YOU HAVE WORKED OR ATTENDED POSITION DESIRED: FIRST CHOICE E_{HTLY} Level U_{Colk} SECOND CHOICE D_{Colk} Citaired EMPLOYMENT DESIRED: PERMANENT TEMPORARY SUMMER DATE		STREET ADDRESS)	Same (STREET ADDRESS) BOUR	Yes	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY?	OFFENSE		N/A FROM		NAME AND LOCATION OF	Cass Teshnisal	e Institute			
PRINT NAME IN FULL	OTHER NAME (S), IF ANY, UNDER WHIC POSITION DESIRED: FIRST CHOICE		PRESENT 445C	PERMANENT ADDRESS	ARE YOU A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES?.	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN C	DATE		BRANCH OF SERVICE		NOTITUTION	HIGH SCHOOL	COLLEGE			OTHER



INTERVIEWED

õ

YES

HAVE YOU EVER WORKED FOR GENERAL MOTORS?

(PLEASE LIST

ALL PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT AND BEGIN BY LISTING YOUR LAST OR PRESENT EMPLOYMENT FIRST)

Pg 9 of 110
Document 3-3 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM HEREBY REPRESENT THAT EACH ANSWER TO A QUESTION HEREIN AND ALL OTHER INFORMATION OTHERWISE FURNISHED IS TRUE AND CORRECT. I FURTHER REPRESENT THAT SUCH THE AND INFORMATION THE QUESTION OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY WE WILL SUBJECT TO WHICH THE ANSWER OF INFORMATION FURNISHED BY WE WILL SUBJECT TO WHICH THE ANSWER OF INFORMATION THAT ANY INCOMPLETE, OR FALESE STATEMENT OR INFORMATION FURNISHED BY WE WILL SUBJECT TO WHICH THE ANSWER OF INFORMATION THAT ANY INCOMPLETE, OR FALESE STATEMENT OR INFORMATION FURNISHED BY WE WILL SUBJECT TO WHICH THE ANY INFORMATION THE EVENT OF THAT I AM EMPLOYED BY GENERAL MOTORS, I AGREE TO COMPLY WITH ALL OF ITS ORDERS, RULES AND REGULATIONS, I HEREBY AUTHORIZE MY FORMER EMPLOYERS TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION REGARDING MY EMPLOYMENT WITH THE WAY NOTHER INFORMATION THEY MAY HAVE CONFERNING ME. Page 15 of 39 2 m REASON FOR LEAVING C 7017 Opplazunizios Florid and U DATE DATE FILED Design FROM calibiarien STATE DUTIES CLEARLY AND BRIEFLY 9 YEAR and ant e Guipinens ONE 囟 THE RETAINED FOR YES Dains and eshibirien 7 Maiazainance CONTACTING T C Fabricasien Pecilica 7257 Sales OR SPECIAL rechaolegy PRESENT EMPLOYER AT THIS TIME? APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE THIS APPLICATION PO PRIVILEGE 84.05/hc 84.05Chd 7.90/hc EXPERIENCE WAGE OR NDERSTOOD GENERAL MOTORS RESERVES THE MAY WE ALSO CONTACT YOUR PRESENT EMPL Ŕ 1017 REGARDING YOUR Tech 247 POSITION Labosed Field TO MAKE WHY ARE YOU INTERESTED IN EMPLOYMENT WITH GENERAL MOTORS: QUALIFICATIONS? CARE ETC. V UNDERSTOOD COMPANY NAME AND LOCATION Consitue zien COMMENTS YOU WOULD DISPOSITION, 4.00 Michiga Mich apply ERS REGARDING REFERENCES. Seals Recebuck WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER YOUR GREATEST 느 Mazers APPLICATION, REFERRALS, FOR EMPLOYMENT (1.011en Octando Dearbera General ADDIT JONAL Bc57; nieleszen IN APPLYING HERE PAST EMPLOYERS R DATES ARE THERE ANY \$ U. 0, 6 RECORD OF 100 6-82 F ROM P. 8-79

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Pg 10 of 110 BCC: Pg 10 of 110 Filed 12/11/09 Page 16 of 39

L. Ghofulpo

33

June 15, 1983

Mr. Stanley R. Stasko 4450 52nd Street Detroit, MI 48210

Dear Mr. Stasko:

This comes as a follow-up of our phone conversation during which I extended to you an invitation to join the professional staff of our Advanced Product and Manufacturing Engineering Staff.

Everyone with whom you spoke is most enthused about the prospects of your joining us. It is felt that you can make an outstanding contribution to our efforts. In turn, I know that Advanced Product and Manufacturing Engineering Staff would provide you with a working environment you would find both stimulating and rewarding. Should you accept our invitation you would be classified as an Associate Engineer and receive compensation at the rate of \$28,000.00 per annum. This includes a base salary and the Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) that prevails at the time you report.

Salary is certainly important. However, it does not represent the total compensation one receives as a member of the General Motors family. I'm speaking, of course, of our excellent benefit program as outlined in the benefit booklet. One not mentioned in the booklet is your opportunity to purchase a General Motors automobile at dealer cost as soon as you come on the payroll.

Both personally and professionally, I hope you find the above acceptable in that I am certain it would lead to a long and mutually profitable association. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 575-8007.

Sincerely,

Larry E. Ott Staffing Representative

LEO:yd

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Double 19 Filed 12/11/09 Page 17 of 39

4450 52nd Street Detroit, Michigan 48210

Mr. Larry E. Ott Technical Staffs Personnel General Motors Corporation General Motors Technical Center Warren, Michigan 48090

Dear Mr. Ott:

I am very pleased to accept your offer of Associate Engineer as outlined in your letter of June 15, 1983. I realize I must first pass the physical examination on my first day of work on July 18, 1983, and I will receive a compensation rate of \$28,000.00 per annum.

I look forward to meeting the challenges the Advanced Product and Manufacturing Engineering Staff has to offer, and I shall make every attempt to fulfill your expectations.

Sincerely,

Stanley R. Stasko

0

A TOTAL

H79

E002 -76

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JACPERSON DOLLING TION ISHEE Page 19 of 39 (Pls. Print) Staske Soc. Security No. 381-68-1216 SINGLE Szcez City, State, Zip Dercon Mich 482K MARRIED If not a citizen of the United States: DIVORCED ___ Alien Reg. No. Date and Place Issued: Name, relationship and phone number of person to notify in case of emergency: Sophie Staska 4450 520 Dericiz Michigan 48210 (PLEASE FURNISH A COPY OF COLLEGE TRANSCRIPT) Education: (List all educational training) Course No. Years Date Degree Name and Location of School Program Graduated Obtained Attended Electrical Lawrence Inst. of Tech. Eucineens Are you a Registered Engineer? Yes No X Year _____ State List eligible dependents for health insurance coverage: (If additional space required use reverse side) Name Soc. Security No. Birth Date Relationship ** If covered under spouse's insurance, list place of employment of spouse _ and name of Insurance Company_ Please designate beneficiary for Metropolitan Group Life Insurance. Name Sophie Staske Age 62 Relationship Marker Address 4450 52NP Descrip TRANSFERS FROM OTHER GM DIVISIONS PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS: (also includes employes who have had former employment with a GM division) Were you actively participating in the following programs at the time of transfer or layoff? Blue Cross/Blue Shield _____ Comprehensive Medical ____ Metropolitan Optional Life ____ Dependent Group Life __ Stock Purchase Program ____ Retirement Program ____ Series "E" Savings Bonds ____ Dental _ LIST ALL PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT WITH GENERAL MOTORS (If additional space required use reverse side From Mo/Day/Yr Mo/Day/Yr Division & Location Reason for Leaving Engineering Szaff Facility Tech Special Separation 8-14-79 Vacation days used this calendar year_ Vacation days left Date 7-18-83 Signed _____

APMES

ACCTG/PERSONNEL RECORD CHANGE

A-m - 3590 y 10	
TO: T. PERKINS APMES FINANCE	NEW ENTRY REVISION
EFFECTIVE DATE 07-18-83	
LENGTH OF SERVICE DATE 07-18-83	
EMPLOYE NAME STANKEY R STASK	to many ,
SS NUMBER 381-68-1716 STATUS 0	F EMPLOYMENT: New Hire XFer In
DEPARTMENT F16/	Co-op Std Temp
LOCATION: Warren Detroit	and the same of th
EXEMPTNON-EXEMPT	- Land Market Market
VACATION - ALLOWED HOURS	VAC ELIGIBILITY DATE
VACATION - TAKEN HOURS	80 his 1-1-84
TIME CARDS TO BE USED	
PER DIEM SLIPS (YELLOW) TO BE USED	
C	Personnel Department

cc: File
H. Helton



Personnel Administration General Motors Corporation General Motors Technical Center Warren, Michigan 48090

Date:

July 20, 1983

Subject:

Length of Service

To:

Stanley Stasko

You will recall in your new employe orientation, I indicated I would write you a note regarding your prior employment as a high school cooperative student as it might relate to backdating your length of service.

Section 307.214 of the Policy Manual indicates that only time worked that is at least one-half of the staff's normal workweek can be included in length of service. High School cooperative students work less than 20 hours per week.

I noticed that you also worked the period of time during the summer on a full time basis. This same section of the policy also indicates you can only consider periods of employment one year back from the time a person is hired "permanently". Your period of employment 9/78 to 8/79 is well beyond a year so we will not be able to considered your full time summer employe status in your length of service.

Sorry that the policy does not allow us to backdate your length of service, but it was sure worth the look.

Best of luck on your new assignment.

Cheryl J. Pasike Personnel Specialist

Desp's Bolder No. 13 49447 99 DAY TERM ENDING FEB 82 LII 1 PROSE CIRCUITS 2 ELECTRONICS 1 41.265 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
--

LAWRENCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

BACCALAUREATE DEGREE PROGRAM

19447

ENGINEERING ELECTRICAL

6-- 6-61

CITIZENSHIP (OTHER THAN U.S.)

BIRTH DATE

est and attended industrial

The second secon

SCHOOL CURR DATE OF GRAD FROM H.S. LAST COLLEGE ATTENDED L.I.T. DEGREES GRANTED ANAL GEO 3 VECTR INTRO ENGINEERNG

DAY TERM ENDING GEN CHEMISTRY 2

CALCULUS I ENGR GRAPHICS

More 's Apagor Bolls

DAT TERM ENUTING

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

GEN CHEMISTRY 1

49447

SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48075 STUDENT NUMBER

CALCULUS II ENGLISH COMP ENGR MATERIALS 1

DAY TERM ENDING

19464

PHYSICS MECHANIC EFFECTIVE SPEECH

DAY TERM ENDING

ROBOT Roll

DABR

49447

CALCULUS III

PHYSICS HT SD LT

CALCULUS IV

MECH 1 STATICS

Dean's Boner Bell

12565

DAY TERM ENDING

19447

COMPUTER 2 FORT

ALL CREW! IS IN STAMPARY OLIARIER HOURS GHADING SYSTEM COM MING WING MOND POINT)

09-50026-mg

Doc 9093-5

The state of the s

Filed 02/04/11

Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53

B Part 4

09-50026-mg	Doc 9093-5	Filed 02/04/11	Enter	ed 02/04/11 17:	42:53	B Part 4
Case 2:09)-cv-14827-JA(C-VMM Pg 18 of	110 nt 3-3	Filed 12/11/09	Page 2	24 of 39

EXHIBIT A

EXIT INTERVIEW

Employe Name STANLEY R. STASKO	
Position Code 7E06	
Service Date 7/18/83	
Social Security Number 381-68-1710	
PER Unit_	15 St.
Department WH213	
Supervisor JERRY FAIRBANKS	
1. To what degree was your decision to leave this the following? Please check all that apply.	organization influenced by any of
geographic relocation returning to school	Use the following scale:
family circumstances	1 - No Influence
retirement	2 - Minor Influence
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	3 - Major Influence
dissatisfaction with:	4 - Primary Influence
working conditions (elaborate	
salary	
quality of management	
career advancement	
under-utilized in area of exp	ertise
	AM ENTERING THE SEML "
FOR	THE ARCH. OF DETROIT
70 /	DISCERN THE CALL TO BE A PRIEST.
2. Please indicate your (dis/)agreement with the f	ollowing, using the following
scale:	
1 - Strongly Agree	
2 - Agree	
3 - Neither Agree or Disagree	
4 - Disagree	
5 - Strongly Disagree	
There is a high degree of cooperation There is a high degree of cooperation departments.	•
	oration adequately prepared me for my
Communication within my department wa	s <u>not</u> a barrier to my work.
3. What is your level of satisfaction with the fol	lowing?
1 - Greatly Satisfied	
2 - Satisfied	
3 - Neither Satisfied or Dissatisfied	
4 - Dissatisfied	
5 - Greatly Dissatisfied	
2 Salary	Benefits
2 Opportunity for Advancement	Job Security
2 Equipment Provided	2 Mentoring/Supervis_on
Career Development	2 General Management

09-50026-mg	Doc 9093-5	Filed 02/04/11	Enter	ed 02/04/11 17:	:42:53	B Part 4
Case 2:0	9-cv-14827-JA	C-VMM Pg 19 of 1	110 nt 3-3	Filed 12/11/09	Page 2	5 of 39

EXHIBIT A

EXIT INTERVIEW - PG, 2

and the state of the same	A CONTRACTOR	EVIT INTERVITED DC 0
Y Y	¥.	EXIT INTERVIEW - PG, 2
	4(a)	If you are taking another job, what does that job offer you that your job at GM did not? NOT APPLICABLE - I AM ENTERING THE SEMINARY FOR THE ARCH, OF DETROIT; THEREFORE, I DON'T BELIEVE THIS GUESTION APPLIES TO HE.
er e	(p)	Did GM meet your expectations? If not, please provide specific areas in which your expectations were not met. YES, I VERY MUCH ENDOYED MY CAREER AT GENERAL MOTORS. OVERALL, 17 WAS A VERY GOOD CAREER.
. 121		What constructive comments do you have for management in regard to continuously improving the workplace?
	(d)	experience? DURING HY CAREER AT GM, MY (2) MANAGERS (WARD
2-17		CUIERS AND TERRY FAIRBANKS) HAVE GAVE HE MANY OPPORTUNITIES TO EXPRESS MY ENGINEERING TALENTS
	(e)	Additional comments: THANK-YOU FOR THE ENDOYABLE CAREER.
		Please describe your future career plans/goals. AT THIS TIME I AM DISCERNING THE CALL TO BE A PRIEST; THEREFORE, MI FUTURE PLANS/GOALS WILL BE DETERMINED AS THAT DISCERNMENT PROCESS CONFOLDS. Interviewed by: Bare: 8-25-95 Employe signature: Milling to participate in a follow-up survey? 27653 LEXINGTON PROCESS SOUTHFIELD, MICH, 48076

-09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 Pg 20 of 110

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 26 of 39

EXHIBIT B

SECURITY TERMINATION STATEMENT

At the request of GM Powertrain, General Motors Corporation, I have returned all secret or confidential information documentary or other tangible form, including copies or reproductions, in my possession or under my control and I agree that the obligation not to disclose secret or confidential information continues after the termination of my employment and that I shall not make any disclosure at any time thereafter without prior written permission of GM Powertrain, General Motors Corporation, except as I may be required to make such disclosure by judicial process or operation of law.

20.

TYPED NAME OF WITNESS

539 - 30 - 4875 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Pg 21 of 110
Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 27 of 39

EXHIBIT A

SALARIED PERSONNEL EXIT INTERVIEW

	ss# <u>38/-68-</u>	1710
NAME STANCEY R. STASKO	DATE 8/25/85	
ADDRESS 27653 LEXINGTON PKW	y ZIP CODE 48076	11.130 ×
HOME TELEPHONE NO. (810) \$52-	0476 SERVICE DATE 7/18	183
CLASSIFICATION 7E\$6	DEPARTMENT WH 2	13
EFFECTIVE DATE 8/25/95	A REMINISTRATION SAFE	25/95
REASON FOR LEAVING I AM EN ARCH. OF	NTERING THE SEMINARY F	OR THE
ITEMS TO BE COLLECTED	AMOUNTS OWED	
GMAC CARD NA	AMEX NOTE RECEIVABLE/ DELINQUENT ACCOUNT	\$ 6
KEYS/TOOLS	GM FELLOWSHIP EXPENSE	\$
GM PASS	GM FELLOWSHIP LOAN	\$ 0
EDS RELATED ITEMS: TRASVELCALL SERVICE CARD	GM HOUSE LOAN	\$
SYSTEM USER ID'S (LIST ALL)	GM TUITION ASSISTANCE (BEFORE COURSE COMPLETION)	\$
- PC SOFTWARE/PC KEYS	CASH ADVANCE	\$
- LAPTOP (PORTABLE COMPUTER) - CELLULAR TELEPHONE	LUMP SUM RELOCATION ALLOW.	\$
Chinonal Tenerione	SALARY/VACATION OVERPAYMENT	\$
PERTINENT COMMENTS BY EMPLOYE AND	/OR INTERVIEWER:	
	00070/	
IGNATURE OF INTERVIEWER: Jour	lel a Fairboules	

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Pg 22 of 110.
GIII Pg 22 of 110.
GIII Pg 22 of 110.
Filled 12/11/09 Page 28 of 39 SSN----> 381681710 NAME----: STASKO, STANLEY, R HIRED----: 09/07/78 EFFECTIVE DATE-: 08/25/95 LENGTH OF SERVICE DATE-: 07/18/83 *************************** STATUS AS OF: 08/25/95 ******************** Williams Williams STATUS ACTION --: 1J QUIT-CAREER CHANGE SEPARATED EMP CATEGORY---: SE ********************* POSITION AS OF: 01/01/95 **************** POSITION ACTION: D8 CHG-REORG/ORG NAME GM POWERTRAIN GRP GROUP-----: GPT DIV/STAFF----: 4700 POWERTRAIN PERUNIT----: 10020 GM POWERTRAIN-WRN ENG DEPT----: WH213 LOCATION----: 2130 WARREN MI SR PROJECT ENGINEER POS CODE----: 7E06 LOCAL TITLE---: S DIO + 2 To + OU FUNCT ASSIGN---: **************************** CONTACT NAME---: CHRISTINE WESTERN CONTACT NUMBER-: 8-227-8762 ******************

MOD HERDER - MINISTER COM

TS0010-ENTER NUMBER(S)

O9-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Pocument 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 29 of 39

GM POWERTRAIN WARREN ENGINEERING CENTER EMPLOYEE CHANGE STATUS FORM

BY CHAIR I

TO:	ADMINISTRATIV SHIPPING & RECI PLANT ENGINEEI PERSONNEL SECURITY	EIVING DOCK SUPER		163-02, #2-7 E-417, #2-7 E-326M, #2-7 129-11, #2-7	
	EDS BUSINESS MANA	GEMENT		G-142, #2-7 G-211, #2-7 140-05, #2-7	
	INTERNAL MOVE	LEAVING	FACILITY	COMING FR	OM
	NEW HIRE	CONTRAC	CT EMPLOYEE	GOING TO_	
	RELOCATION	DOES CUBICAL	EXIST?	DATE OF MO	OVE
SOCIA	AL SECURITY NUME	BER: 381- (REQUIRED ON PER	68-171 SONNEL DEPARTM	O MENT COPY "ONLY	(**
EMPL	OYEE NAME:	STASKO LAST	STANLE) FIRST	y R	INITIAL
DIVISI	ION / DEPT. NAME /	TITLE ACTIVITY:	GHPT-	ww	97.8
LOCA	TION ROOM NUMBI	ER: <u> </u>	PH	e- Hone: <u>(e1c)</u>	226-7677 <u>986-76</u> 77
NAME	CHANGE: (PREVIOUS	FULL NAME AS SHOWN IN DI	VME:	81011 - NODE	67677 BOX
PLEAS	SE PROVIDE / CANO	CEL:			
1	KEYS - ROOM LOCK	NUMBER	KEYS	- DESK LOCK	NUMBER
	PHONE	VME		EDSNET	ID'S
COMP	UTER EQUIPMENT:				
PHONE	E DIRECTORY:	CORPORATE	GN	МРТ	WARREN
SECUR	UTY PASS:	PEP VEH	IICLE PARKING	DESIGNATION	1 :
IMMEI	DIATE SUPERVISOR	: GERALO A (PLEASE PRINT)	FAIRBAN	JKS 6-	7885

QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS FORM, CALL KAREN BLAND 2-5382.

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Pg 24 of 110
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 30 of 39

POWERTRAIN PHONE DIRECTORY UPDATE Forward completed for

Case 2:09-cv-14	827-	JAC-VMM Docume	ent 3-3 Filed 12/11	/09 Pa	age 30 of 39
GM	151	是自己的国家的特	D MAIL SERVICE		Forward completed form to: GM Powertrain Division EDS Customer Service Mail Code 990
POMERTRAIN		PLEASE CHECK AF	PROPRIATE BOXES		Ypsilanti, MI 48198 - 6198
☐ Contract Employe	×	Leaving Division			
□ New Hire		Other	Plant GHPT-	- 410	U .
☐ Relocation		(Explain)			
MA PARTY AND A STATE OF THE STA	in the	reigi in		W 12 (4)	If Different
		, a.			111
Name 5	TF	75KO, ST	ANLEY R	E	
Full Name as Shown in Phone Direct					
	·	DIFACT DOLL			
E	· ·	PLEASE PRINT	BELOW		
Employe Name 5 7 A S	SIK	OSTAN	ILEY		RI
David Ni	Las	t	First		Initial
Dept. Name/					
Title Activity G H P T	- 0	YARREN	WEST		
Location/			Phone		
Bay Balcony EMGR	1	31001-1	/ / Ext. 8	126	1/6/17
Mail	2 2	SALL STABLES TO SEE STATE OF THE SECOND STATE	VME Node		Box #
Code	1/0		0 811	71./	67677

Employe Signature

P-695 REV 5/93

Teo (No. 1989)

PLEASE	RETURN	THIS	COMPLETED	FORM	TO:

Judy McGlashan - EDS/GMPT Engineering
Room A-220 G-121 (EXT 5-1765)
Bldg. 2-7

LAST NAME: STASKO	ROOM # 1C1			
FIRST NAME: STANLEY	BUILDING #/_/			
MIDDLE NAME: ROBERT	DEPT. NAME: WARREN WEST			
SSN: 381-68-1710	DEPT #:WH213			
Effective Date: 8/25/95	Last Day Worked: 8/25/25			
DATA SECURITY				
(X) GM () NON-GM Vendor Name				
Have you ever had an EDS NET:	ID?) YES: NET ID			
Please list any active applic (Please indicate PDS, IMS, TS INCLUDE CADAM AND CATIA)	cation LOGON ID'S:			
*******	********			
PHONE CHANGES				
PHONE	CHANGES			
	CHANGES*** MANAGER'S NAME: JERRI FAIRBANK			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677				
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>JERRI FAI</u> RBANK CHIEF ENGINEER:			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0//	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>TERRY FAIRBANK</u> CHIEF ENGINEER:			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0// VME SYSTEM:	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>JERRI FAI</u> RBANK CHIEF ENGINEER: NONE			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0// VME SYSTEM: AT&T CALLING CARD NUMBER:	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>JERRI FAI</u> RBANK CHIEF ENGINEER: NONE			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0// VME SYSTEM: AT&T CALLING CARD NUMBER: 2 EDS TRAVELCALL CARD NUMBER: 2 REQUEST TYPE: DELETE (phonomore) TRANSFER (one (one)	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>JERRI FAI</u> RBANK CHIEF ENGINEER: NONE			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0// VME SYSTEM: AT&T CALLING CARD NUMBER: 2 EDS TRAVELCALL CARD NUMBER: 2 REQUEST TYPE: DELETE (phonomore) TRANSFER (one (one)	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>TERRI FAIRBANK</u> CHIEF ENGINEER: NONE de, line, etc.) person to another) account to another) department to another)			
VME BOX NUMBER: 67677 VME NODE: 8/0// VME SYSTEM: AT&T CALLING CARD NUMBER: 2 EDS TRAVELCALL CARD NUMBER: 2 REQUEST TYPE: DELETE (phonomore) (one (one (one))	MANAGER'S NAME: <u>TERRI FAIRBANK</u> CHIEF ENGINEER: NONE de, line, etc.) person to another) account to another) department to another)			

numerical sent to Jusy huther on 9/7/95 angle

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 32 of 39

Exhibit - 14

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Doc 9093-3 Filed 02,0 ,, _ _ _ ____ Pg 27 of 110 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 33 of 39 EMPLOYMENT HISTORY RECORD REASON CLOCK NO. DATE ACCOUNT NO. DEPARTMENT CODE CLASSIFICATION 15.01/diem Temp ⁷-78 1-11 Coop Students - HS 2E00(30) Coop Student 32.80/diem cc 16-79 25 2E30 llearner-Lab Aide H-HIRE R-REHIRE C-CHANGE CLASS LO-LAYOFF RC-RATE CHANGE T-TRANSFER Q-QUIT D-DISCHARGE FR-FINAL RELEASE MS-MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY SEPARATION DS-DECEASED S-SPECIAL SEPARATION THE MEDORARY I STAFF-GENERAL MOTORS CORP. STASKO, Stanley R. I * 3.8 hour day HE COST OF BADGE, TOOLS, OR OTHER PROPERTY OF SAID COMPANY OBTAINED AND NOT SIGNATURE A TOTAL LATION OF SERVICE. 8-28-78 381-68-1710 DATE ISSUED SOC SEC NO

Engineering Staff

EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE

CLOCK NO. RMIDDLE STASKO Stänley YEMP881" BERTHON W 381-68-1710

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 Pg 28 of 110 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 34 of 39 Job Code: _.. Department: High School Coops 1-11 Learner-Lab Aide Job Title: ___ Date:__ ATTENDANCE Tardiness: Frequently No Problem Occasionally Absenteeism: _____ Hours JOB DUTIES: FABRICATION OF A WIDE ASSORTMENT ELECTRONIC DEVICES. AREAS OF JOB STRENGTH: MAKES GOOD USE OF TIME. PERFORMS EACH A HIGHLY PROFESSIONAL MANDER. VERY CONCLENTIOUS INDUSTRIAL. AREAS NEEDING JOB IMPROVEMENT: ELECTRODIC TROUBLE SHOOTIDE - HOWEVER THIS INAS REQUIREMENT OF THIS OVERALL JOB RATING Outstanding performance: Highly effective Needs slight Good competent Needs much far exceeds standard performance performance; meets improvement improvemen for this job; achievable exceeds standard standard for this to meet to meet but seldom attained for this job. iob: the level of standard for standard for performance. performance most this job. this job. often achieved.

Stanley Stanles Employe Signature

8-10-79

PAUL DURREUBERG

8-10-79

Appraised By

Date

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-J/கூற்றில் E மேது வர்சால் இடி Filed 12/11/09 Page விண்டு இவர்கள் இடி I-II

JOB (DUTIES: Fabrication and calibration of test
	equipment for emission tests were my
	main job duties.
WAS.	JOB CHALLENGING? <u>Each joh had its own challenges</u>
	DUT I teel my full potential was
	not reached on any one project.
WERE	E RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO ACCOMPLISH JOB ASSIGNMENTS? I Was
	supplied with good and auality
	material to complete each joh.
WHAT	T, IF ANY, OBSTACLES HINDERED GOAL ACHIEVEMENT?
	No obstacles of any significance.
,	
GENE	RAL COMMENTS: Capperation and assistance by
	fellow employees excellent. Cooperative
	program has been a rewarding experience.
-	Stunley Stucker 8-13-79 Emproye Signature Date

9-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4				
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3. Filed 12/11/09 SPAGES Spicifs San Engineering Staff - Environmental Activities Staff - Transportation Spages Spicifs San				
Name of Employe Stanley R. Stasko				
Department I-]]				
Date and Separation Classification 8-14-79 Special separation				
+ + + +				
DEPARTMENTAL COMMENTS				
Please furnish a brief description of the employe's performance and reason for leaving. If any problem areas - such as attendance, work attitude, cooperation with other employes, etc., apply - give specific details. Return this form to Bettie Bennett of Personnel before the date of exit interview. Alanley did a superle job on electronic devices, he is leaving to go to school. His productivity was good, attitude exceptional, and getsalong fine with liveryon No problem with attendance.				
Would you recommend for re-employment? The above information furnished by: faul & Lished (signed)				
PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT COMMENTS				
Exit Interview conducted by:				

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Double 15/19 Filed 12/11/09 Page 37 of 39

Exhibit - 15

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 3-3 Filed 12/11/09 Page 38 of 39

Lawrence Technological University Southfield, Michigan 1979-1983 B.S. Electrical Engineering (Summa Cum Laude) With an emphasis in Digital and Microprocessor Circuits, and Mathematics and Computer Science

Professional experience:

General Motors Powertrain - Warren West Senior Project Engineer; From July, 1983 to August, 1995 My overall responsibility was the design, engineering, and project management of multi-million dollar renovation projects.

Project Management activity:

Eight years experience in the design, engineering, and project management of advanced powertrain dynamometer multi-million dollar test laboratory renovation.

Four years experience in the design and engineering of advanced powertrain emissions million-dollar test laboratory renovation.

Scheduling project activity using Timeline software to generate timeline diagrams

Reviewed GM UAW hourly personnel work activity (including installing industrial equipment, electrical conduit, and 480 VAC wiring)

Reviewed GM salaried personnel renovation work activity

Reviewed EDS software work activity and helped establish work priorities

Inspected and approved test equipment at contractor facilities

Team leader for continuous improvement process group activity

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM เป็นให้เป็น Filed 12/11/09 Page 39 of 39

Summary of qualifications:

Eight years experience in the design and engineering of test cell instrumentation, engine intake conditioned air systems, DSP high speed combustion analysis systems (100 kHz per channel), a hemianechoic chamber, fuel injector test stands, operator's control consoles, engine coolant and oil temperature control systems, cell safety systems, cell supply and exhaust ventilation renovation with Toshiba VFD, Allen-Bradley motor control centers, and a fuel injector test stand.

Four years experience in the design and engineering of emissions analysis equipment, sample conditioning equipment, operator's control consoles, test cell sensors and transducers, test site configuration, gas bottle storage room renovation for hazardous environment, overhead track systems, heating and ventilation equipment renovation for energy savings, and strip chart recorders.

Eight years experience in the design, engineering, integration, and project management of HP1000 - A900 series data acquisition test equipment renovation. Including Computer Products front-end equipment, analog and digital I/O cards, interface wiring, signal conditioning, grounding and shielding, and sensors.

Eleven years experience in the hardware and software design, integration, and implementation of Modicon (884, 984-680, and 984-E685) programmable logic controllers. Including the interfacing of the programmable logic controller to test cell equipment, generating the required I/O drawings using Autocad and Gray Soft software, and writing the required software logic using Digital Machine Control software.

Eight years experience in the design, integration, and implementation of electrical controls. Including General Motors ES-1 Electrical Standards, the National Electrical Code, NFPA 79 for Industrial Equipment, explosion proof requirements, intrinsic safety requirements, and test cell power requirements (less than 120 VAC, 120 VAC, and 480 VAC) distribution and grounding system.

Eight years experience in the design, integration, and implementation of Honeywell UDC3000 process controllers for temperature, pressure, and humidity control. Including writing the required configuration software, calibrating systems on start-up, and optimizing software tuning parameters utilizing Protuner auto-tuning software.

Three years experience in the design, integration, and implementation of Modicon Panelmate 2000 series video based man-machine interfaces. Including writing the required configuration and application software.

Twelve years experience in capital appropriations financial activity. Including cost estimates, procuring capital equipment, and tracking project monies for renovation activity.

Twelve years experience in interdepartmental and liaison work activity. Including leading design meetings between technical support personnel, engineers and project managers. Liaison work activity between General Motors and construction contractors and/or test equipment contractors.

hi wall

with the property of the prope

THE DESIGNATION OF THE STATE OF

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4

Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Post-net-10 Filed 12/11/09 Page 1 of 50

Case:2:09-cv-14827 Judge: Cook, Julian Abele MJ: Morgan, Virginia M Filed: 12-11-2009 At 04:18 PM

STANLEY STASKO V. GENERAL MOTORS CORP (KB)

Exhibit - 16

And the second more year particular of the start of the second se

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Po 36 of 110 Filed 12/11/09 Page 2 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

ł.	Attended	Cass Technical High School - 10, 11, a	nd 12 Grades		
	1.1. Electronics Program, Graduated with approximately a 3.7 GPA				
	1.2. Purpo	sefully took extra courses in automotive	technology		
	1.3. Enter	ed physics program at Wayne State Unive	ersity – 11 th Grade		
	1.3.1.	\$100 award for being the outstanding str	udent		
	1.3.2.	Verify with DAPCEP, Detroit Area Pre-	-college Engineering Program 100		
Farnsworth Suite 249 Detroit, Michigan 48202					
	1.4. Gener	ral Motors offers Plaintiff a High School	Co-op position – 12 th Grade		
		General Motors Technical Center, Engin			
2.	Lawrence Technological University Southfield, Michigan - BSEE, 1983				
	2.1 Started a dual degree program at Lawrence Technological University: BSEE and BS				
	Mathematics and Computer Science				
	2.2. Speci	al dual degree courses completed			
	2,2,1.	Computer Tech 3 Course # 32.273			
	2.2.2.	Computer Science 1 Course # 32.425			
	2.2.3.	Matrix Algebra Course # 32.565			
	2.2.4.	Computer Science 2 Course # 32.513			
	2.3. Stanle	ey R. Stasko needs one more year to com	plete a full second BS degree		
	2.3.1.	According to the Lawrence Technologic	cal University Catalog 1981-82		
	2.3 2	Introduction to Western Civilization 1	38.113 (3) Credits		
	2.3.3.	Introduction to Western Civilization 2	38.213 (3) Credits		
	2.3.4.	Language and Literature Elective	(3) Credits		
	2.3.5.	Language and Literature Elective	(3) Credits		
	2.3.6.	Language and Literature Elective	(3) Credits		
	2.3.7.	Computer techniques 1	32.233 (3) Credits		
	2.3.8	Probability and Statistics	32.485 (5) Credits		

32.643 (3) Credits 32.785 (5) Credits

32.795 (5) Credits

2.3.9. Partial Differential Equations

2.3.10. Numerical Analysis with Computer App 1 2.3.11. Numerical Analysis with Computer App 2

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Psp-27:96-11-49 Filed 12/11/09 Page 3 of 50

- Recognition of distinguished scholarship and exemplary character Tau Beta Pi from Lawrence Technological University; Award certificate dated May 6, 1982
- 4. Awarded membership in the Lambda Iota Tau Honor Society from Lawrence
 Technological University; Award certificate dated June 5, 1983
- Hired by General Motors Technical Center, Engineering Building, Emissions Wing as a 5th level Associate Engineer (5E35) approximately July 18, 1983
 - 5.1. Plaintiff should have been hired as a 6th level Project Engineer; Four to five times General Motors specifically requested plaintiff to work for General Motors
 - 5.2. First time => plaintiff is asked to interview for a co-op position with General Motors coordinated with Cass Tech High School; General Motors offers plaintiff a co-op position; Plaintiff says no
 - 5.3. Second time => Cass Tech High School tells plaintiff to see his school counselor; Cass Tech pressures plaintiff to accept General Motors co-op position; plaintiff accepts; see General Motors letter signed by Eileen M. Poppleton Student Coordinator dated June 9, 1978 (See Exhibit 13); General Motors retaliates by verbally assaulting plaintiff on first day of work
 - 5.4. Third time => plaintiff does so much good work for General Motors that General Motors asks plaintiff to continue to work into the summer after the school year ends; see General Motors Employment History Record employment code changed from 2E00 to 2E30 on June 16, 1979 (See Exhibit 14)
 - 5.5. Fourth time => plaintiff does so much good work for General Motors that General Motors is running out of work for plaintiff to do; General Motors has to think of new work for plaintiff (rewiring Dynamometer Test Cell cylinder distribution solenoids); General Motors offers plaintiff a job with General Motors while he attends Lawrence Technological University; plaintiff says no
 - 5.5.1. General Motors Summer Temporary Student Appraisal of plaintiff: Overall job rating => Outstanding performance; far exceeds standard for this job; achievable but seldom attained performance; signed by Ron Meegan; dated August 10, 1979 (See Exhibit 14)

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM 19638-nert110 Filed 12/11/09 Page 4 of 50

- 5.5.2. General Motors Evaluation on Separation or Transfer of plaintiff; Would you recommend for re-employment? Yes signed by Paul E. Rishel (See Exhibit 14)
- 5.6. Fifth time => General Motors tries to hire plaintiff through Ron Buch-holz, Ron is a person plaintiff knows from Lawrence Technological University who in CY1983 works for General Motors; plaintiff does not express any interest to work for General Motors; Ron Buch-holz asks plaintiff for a resume to give to General Motors; plaintiff tells Ron Buch-holz that he is not interested in working for General Motors; eventually plaintiff gives Ron Buch-holz a resume; General Motors interviews plaintiff; General Motors offers plaintiff a job as a 5E35 Associate Engineer

6. Humidity Monitoring to help diagnose problem with large printer

- 6.1. a gentleman in the General Motors Technical Center, Engineering Building, North Lobby has a printer that can take a picture slide and expand it from approximately 2 inches X 2 inches to greater than 24 inches X 36 inches
- 6.2. the problem is that the quality of the expanded printout is unsatisfactory; the suspected problem is that the ambient air humidity conditions are not within printer specifications
- 6.3. plaintiff setup an EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor in the printer area
- 6.4. plaintiff trained project personnel on how to record EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor data
- 6.5. plaintiff trained project personnel in reading a Psychometric chart using Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor data
- 6.6. plaintiff trained project personnel on how to clean and calibrate the EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature unit
- 6.7. with plaintiff assistance the Ambient Air Relative Humidity was determined to be outside printer specifications

7. Forty-Seven mm diesel particulate filter sampling system

- 7.1. reduction in Diesel Particulate Filter size from approximately 140mm to 47 mm
 - 7.1.1. smaller filters require less shelf space to soak and normalize to ambient temperature and humidity conditions in the Diesel Particulate Filter Weight Room
 - 7.1.2. smaller filters are compatible with Sartorius microbalance
 - 7.1.3. smaller filters require less sample volume removed from CVS tunnel during testing
 - 7.1.3.1. less sample volume removed equals less mathematical correction
- 7.2. 47 mm diesel particulate filters are compatible with tri-pod sampling tree compared to 140 mm mono-pod sample probe
- 7.3. this can be verified by contacting Leslie Brown; the Diesel Particulate Filter Weight Room salaried technician

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Pstander 11/10 Filed 12/11/09 Page 6 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

8. Sartorius Microbalance

- 8.1. used to weigh 47mm diesel particulate filter sampling system
- 8.2. measurements to 0.000001 grams
- 8.3. automated lifting mechanism allows specimen tray removal without contact by human hands
- 8.4. specimen reading initiated via foot operated switch connected to a printer
- 8.5. trained diesel Particulate Filter Room technician Leslie Brown in proper operation of Sartorius Microbalance

9. Tylan Mass Flow Controllers

- 9.1. evaluated and demonstrated that Tylan Mass Flow Controllers as an alternative to traditional Emission Analysis System sample flow control
- 9.2. parts reduction associated with using Tylan Mass Flow Controllers instead of traditional Emissions Analysis System sample flow control
 - 9.2.1. flow controllers, needle valves, flow meters, sintered metal filters
 - 9.2.2. fittings, 0.25 inch tubing, fitting sealant, and assembly labor
- 9.3. front panel space reduction
 - 9.3.1. no needle valves to mount
 - 9.3.2. no flow meters to mount
- 9.4. to verify plaintiff considered Tylan Mass Flow Controllers for Emission Analysis System applications contact Fred Nadar (sales representative who wanted to use Tylan Mass Flow Controllers if his company was awarded General Motors Technical Center, Engineering Building, Emissions Wing renovation, Emissions Analysis System contract)
- 9.5. it was General Motors decision not to use Tylan Mass Flow Controllers; even though, plaintiff demonstrated Tylan Mass Flow Controllers as an alternative to traditional Emission Analysis System sample flow control

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM P3621nfert19 Filed 12/11/09 Page 7 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

10. Sample Conditioning Unit

- 10.1. Design and engineering of a new Sample Conditioning Unit for the Emissions Wing Test Sites
- 10.2. plaintiff designed the Sample Conditioning Unit for simultaneously conditioning four sample lines
- 10.3. plaintiff calculated the sizes of the new cooling coils
- 10.4. plaintiff designed larger cooling coil traps that do not require flushing in the middle of an Emissions Analysis test to remove the accumulated water from the cooling coil traps
- 10.5. plaintiff designed new stainless steel cooling bath
- 10.6. plaintiff designs new custom-made Sample Conditioning Unit enclosure
 - 10.6.1. Jerry Sidlar, instrumentation technician assigned to the project, purposefully gives plaintiff bad information by telling plaintiff to design the Sample Conditioning Unit so big that you can remove any component without having to remove another component
 - 10.6.2. General Motors will use the basic design of plaintiff Sample Conditioning Unit in the Emission Wing Renovation except that the revised design will be significantly smaller in size (just the opposite of the information Jerry Sidlar gave to plaintiff)
- 10.7. plaintiff provided drawings for fabrication of the new stainless steel cooling bath
- 10.8. plaintiff provided drawings for fabrication of the new custom-made Sample Conditioning Unit enclosure
- 10.9. plaintiff tells Jerry Sidlar that the new Sample Conditioning Unit will have a programmable logic controller, Paul Durrenberg steals plaintiff idea of using a programmable logic controller by programming it before plaintiff

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Psocarrie 114 Filed 12/11/09 Page 8 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

General Motors Technical Center – Engineering Building - Emissions Wing Renovation 11. Horiba Chassis Dynamometer Controller

- 11.1. Early in the Emissions Wing Renovation their were discussions with various vendors about the possible sales opportunities within the scope of the Emission Wing Renovation
- 11.2. Horiba Instruments was the eventual supplier selected for the Chassis Dynamometer Controller
- 11.3. Terri Hostteter was the General Motors representative for the project
- 11.4. plaintiff had the opportunity to review some Horiba Chassis Dynamometer Controller information and found an error in the Horiba hardware circuit
- 11.5. plaintiff reward from General Motors for finding an error in the Horiba Chassis Dynamometer Controller hardware circuit => basically nothing

12. Overhead Track System

- 12.1. Three independent tracks
 - 12.1.1. Each track could transverse North and South
 - 12.1.2. Each track could transverse East and West
 - 12.1.3. Each track could transverse up and down
 - 12.1.4. Each telescoping tube assembly could rotate
- 12.2. North most track assigned to video based drivers aid
 - 12.2.1. useful for left handed vehicles (USA) and right handed vehicles (Europe)
 - 12.2.2. elimination of two-pen recorder taking up valuable Emission Test Site floor space
- 12.3. Middle track assigned to Emission Test Site Instrumentation Console
 - 12.3.1. useful for positioning temperature, pressure, and RPM sensors near engine compartment for front-wheel drive vehicles or rear-wheel drive vehicles
 - 12.3.2. short pressure transducer lines minimize pressure line dips / valleys
- 12.4. South most track assigned to Engine Cooling Fan
 - 12.4.1. useful for positioning Engine Cooling Fan near vehicle radiator of front-wheel drive vehicles or rear-wheel drive vehicles
 - 12.4.2. elimination of Engine Cooling fan taking up valuable Emission Test Site floor space
 - 12.4.3. Emission Test Site temperature sensor and humidity sensor pickup mounted on South most telescoping tube assembly to ensure representative temperature and humidity reading for Emission Test Site Computer
- 12.5. Installed by UAW personnel
 - 12.5.1. with McKinney supplying a working supervisor
- 12.6. plaintiff gains experience working with UAW personnel
- 12.7. plaintiff gains experience working with outside contractors
- 12.8. another successful project by plaintiff
- Overhead Track System project can be verified by contacting David McKinney,
 McKinney & Company, P.O. Box 1702, 221 Felch, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

13. Emission Wing Renovation - Design Coordination

- 13.1. Gathered information for Smith H&G for HVAC design report
 - 13.1.1. For example Emission analysis equipment 120 VAC power consumption
- 13.2. New Emission Wing Test Site changes include
 - 13.2.1. Test Site #1, Test Site #2, Test Site #3, and Test Site #4 extended North approximately five feet
 - 13.2.2. On-line Emissions analyzers calibration gas storage room relocated to second floor
 - 13.2.3. New Emission analyzers calibration gas analysis room constructed in Southeast corner of Emissions Wing next to Vehicle Fuel Transfer Room
 - 13.2.4. Diesel Particulate Filter Weight Room relocated from basement to 1st floor of the Emissions Wing
- 13.3. plaintiff specifies Richmond Instruments to provide plexi-glass footprint of new Emissions Analysis Benches to aid in the physical layout of the new Emissions Analysis Benches on new eight inch raised flooring:
 - 13.3.1. interfacing with renovated monoxide ventilation piping
 - 13.3.2. routing of new under-raised flooring HVAC ventilation ducting
 - 13.3.3. locating new under-raised flooring Smoke and Fire detectors
 - 13.3.4. interfacing of 120 VAC electrical power
- 13.4. plaintiff specified location of some of the new equipment located in the New Host Computer Room
 - 13.4.1. new stand-alone HVAC unit
 - 13.4.2. new stand-alone Power Conditioning Unit
 - 13.4.3. new Honeywell DDC Supervisor Personal Computer
- 13.5. coordinated mounting of MVEL supplied Video Based Drivers Aid to North most track of Overhead Drivers Aid system
- 13.6. coordinated mounting of General Motors purchased Engine Cooling Fan to South most track of Overhead Drivers Aid system
- 13.7. plaintiff found mistake in Horiba Dynamometer Controller circuit

14. Emissions Wing Renovation - Project Management

- 14.1. Recorded day-to-day construction contractor head count and work activity
- 14.2. Circulated and approved construction contractor equipment submittals
- 14.3. plaintiff project managed the construction contractor portion of the Emission Wing Renovation independent of Ward Wiers or Denise Wiese
 - 14.3.1. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Ward Wiers or Denise Wiese on a daily basis
 - 14.3.2. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Ward Wiers or Denise Wiese on a weekly basis
 - 14.3.3. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Ward Wiers or Denise Wiese on a monthly basis
 - 14.3.4. Ward Wiers and Denise Wiese could have been in a hospital and plaintiff would not have noticed their absence during day-to-day Project Management of contractor work associated with the Emissions Wing Renovation
- 14.4. plaintiff approved outside contractor monthly request for payments
 - 14.4.1. plaintiff did not ask for Denise Wiese or Ward Wiers approval
- 14.5. Reviewed and approved outside contractor work order bulletins, field orders, and time and material work activity
 - 14.5.1. plaintiff did not ask for Denise Wiese or Ward Wiers approval
- 14.6. When Utley-James was having financial difficulty paying its subcontractors it was plaintiff that was General Motors representative in piecing together the financial status of the Emission Wing Renovation project
 - 14.6.1. plaintiff did not ask for Denise Wiese, Ward Wiers, or Chuck Satchell approval
- 14.7. When General Motors negotiated paying Utley-James subcontractors plaintiff was 1 of 2 General Motors representatives (John Stanek the other GM representative) in bring to a conclusion the financial status of the Emission Wing Renovation project
 - 14.7.1. plaintiff did not ask for Denise Wiese, Ward Wiers, or Chuck Satchell approval
- 14.8. plaintiff directed UAW personnel in modifying and starting-up the Overhead Door Logic Controls

14.9.	Documentation of Emissions Wing Renovation project			
	including tagging major electrical equipment supplied by outside construction			
	contractor (transformers, motor control centers, disconnects)			

- 14.9.1. including tagging 120VAC electrical outlets
- 14.9.2. including documenting the rewrite of the Modicon 884 PLC program

15. Instrumentation Console and Custom Enclosure

- 15.1. designed and fabricated in-house; not purchased from a supplier
- 15.2. approximately eight thermocouple channels
 - 15.2.1. with Acromag signal conditioning modules
 - 15.2.2. up to sixteen modular signal conditioning modules in a 19inch rack mount housing
- 15.3. approximately six Viatran pressure transducers
 - 15.3.1. differential pressure measurement
 - 15.3.1.1. positive pressure measurement
 - 15.3.1.2. negative pressure measurement
 - 15.3.1.3. differential pressure measurement
 - 15.3.2. mounted on a sliding shelf for easier servicing
 - 15.3.2.1. pressure transducer calibration switches mounted on sliding shelf for close **pro**ximity to pressure transducers
- 15.4. Engine RPM pickup and measurement
- 15.5. all internal interface wiring documentation
- 15.6. all external interface wiring documentation from Instrumentation Console to Emission Test Site Patch Panel
- 15.7. mounted on middle track of the Overhead Track System
 - 15.7.1. useful for positioning temperature, pressure, and rpm sensors near engine compartment for front-wheel drive vehicles or rear-wheel drive vehicles
 - 15.7.2. short pressure transducer lines minimize pressure line dips / valleys
- 15.8. plaintiff procured custom enclosure
- 15.9. Phil Brock modified custom enclosure to mount onto the Overhead Track System

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Pgc47neft140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 13 of 50

16.	Emission	Test Site	Instrumentation	Patch	Panel
-----	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	-------

- 16.1. designed in-house by plaintiff
- 16.2. wire interfacing by plaintiff
- 16.3. documentation by plaintiff
- 16.4. Project management by plaintiff
- 16.5. Fabricated by Richmond Instruments
- 16.6. Signal input from array of sources
 - 16.6.1. Engine Out Emission Analyzers
 - 16.6.2. Tailpipe Emission Analyzers
 - 16.6.3. Bag Emission Analyzers
 - 16.6.4. Instrumentation Console temperature signals
 - 16.6.5. Instrumentation Console pressure signals
 - 16.6.6. Instrumentation Console RPM signal
- 16.7. Signal outputs
 - 16.7.1. Signal output #1 --- to Site Computer Aux01 signal input
 - 16.7.2. --- to (12) Channel Recorder channel 01
 - 16.7.3. Signal output #2 through #12 similar to Signal output #1
 - 16.7.4. Signal output #13 --- to Site Computer Aux13 signal input
 - 16.7.5. Signal output #14 through #18 similar to Signal output #13
- 16.8. Recessed slots to hold stereo-jack connectors in a tidy storage

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9-48m2fn140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 14 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

17. 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder and Custom Enclosure

- 17.1. plaintiff directed technician Ken Welbaum in collecting measurement data from a cross section of vehicles
- 17.2. plaintiff specified height of strip chart recorder pen above finished floor based on sample data collected
- 17.3. plaintiff surveyed several technicians to obtain proper viewing angle of 12channel strip chart recorder pens from Emission Test Site vehicle
- 17.4. included with pull-out drawer to catch z-fold strip chart recorder paper while in use
- 17.5. plaintiff provided Richmond Instruments with preliminary drawings for fabrication of 12-channel strip chart recorder cart important dimensions
- 17.6. fabricated by Richmond Instruments
- 17.7. plaintiff designed instrumentation interfacing

18. Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor and Custom Enclosure

- 18.1. plaintiff selected EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor
 - 18.1.1. plaintiff expanded supplier base because General Eastern Dew Point Meter was the standard for General Motors MVEL
- 18.2. plaintiff worked with supplier to implement plaintiff specified modifications; (this can be verified by talking with Jim Parker the EG&G sales representative at the time)
- 18.3. Dew Point sample point and Ambient Temperature sensor remote mounted on Overhead Track System to measure Engine Intake Air conditions
- 18.4. plaintiff used Acromag signal conditioning modules to isolate signals to HVAC controls and Test Site Computer
- 18.5. plaintiff designed interface wiring
- 18.6. Richmond Instruments fabricated the custom enclosure
- 18.7. Project management by plaintiff
- 18.8. one unit in Test Site #1; one unit in Test Site #2; one unit in Test Site #3
- 18.9. one unit in Test Site #4; one unit in Test Site #5; two units in Soak Area
- 18.10. one unit in Diesel Particulate Filter Weight Room

19. Instrumentation Interfacing

- General Motors Milford Proving Grounds (MVEL) provided the Emissions Test
 Site Computer
 - 19.1.1. MVEL interfaced the Emissions Test Site Computer to the Emissions Analysis Systems
 - 19.1.2. MVEL documented the interfacing from the Emissions Test Site Computer to the Emissions Analysis Systems
- 19.2. plaintiff designed the interfacing for the interior of the Instrumentation Patch Panel
- 19.3. plaintiff documented the interfacing for the interior of the Instrumentation Patch panel
- 19.4. plaintiff designed the interfacing from the Instrumentation Patch Panel to the Emissions Test Site Computer
- 19.5. plaintiff documented the interfacing from the Instrumentation Patch panel to the Emissions Test Site Computer
- 19.6. plaintiff designed the interfacing from the Instrumentation Patch Panel to the 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder
- 19.7. plaintiff documented the interfacing from the Instrumentation Patch panel to the 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder
- 19.8. plaintiff designed the interior interfacing of the 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder
- 19.9. plaintiff documented the interior interfacing of the 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder
- 19.10. plaintiff designed the interfacing from the Instrumentation Console to the Instrumentation Patch Panel
- 19.11. plaintiff documented the interfacing from the Instrumentation Console to the Instrumentation Patch Panel
- 19.12. plaintiff designed the interfacing for the interior of the Instrumentation Console
- 19.13. plaintiff documented the interfacing for the interior of the Instrumentation Console

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 16 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

- 19.14. plaintiff designed the interfacing from the Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor Enclosure to the Instrumentation Patch Panel
- 19.15. plaintiff documented the interfacing from the Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor Enclosure to the Instrumentation Patch Panel
- 19.16. plaintiff designed the interfacing for the interior of the Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor Enclosure
- 19.17. plaintiff documented the interfacing for the interior of the Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor Enclosure

20. Programmable Logic Controllers - integrated into Emissions Analysis Systems

- 20.1. Don Nagy of General Motors Milford Proving Grounds specifically stated that Programmable Logic Controllers have been tried by General Motors before and cannot be made to work for Emissions Analysis Systems application; Don Nagy recommended using Milford Vehicle Emissions Lab Bench Controller
- 20.2. When General Motors was starting up the first Programmable Logic Controller and a minor problem appeared between the Emissions Test Site Computer and the Programmable Logic Controller; you should have seen Jo-han-na You-house (Don Nagy's representative from General Motors Milford Proving Grounds responsible for the Emissions Test Site Computer) run to the telephone and start complaining that it does not work
- 20.3. plaintiff rewrote practically all of the Modicon 884 PLC software provided by Richmond Instruments
 - 20.3.1. Richmond Instruments software exhausted PLC memory
 - 20.3.2. Richmond Instruments software incomplete and non-functioning
- 20.4. plaintiff version of Modicon 884 PLC software uses unique programming logic
- 20.5. plaintiff proved Don Nagy and General Motors wrong by proving Programmable Logic Controllers can be used in Emission Analysis System applications
- 20.6. plaintiff implementation of Modicon 884 Programmable Logic Controllers is another example of plaintiff expanding General Motors vendor base because General Motors strongly uses Allen Bradley Programmable Logic Controllers

21. Large Temperature and Humidity Display

- 21.1. the purpose of the Large Temperature and Humidity Display (approximately 30 inches wide by 42 inches tall) was to make the display large enough whereby a group of General Motors managers touring the Emissions Wing could easily view Emissions Test Site temperature and humidity conditions in real time
- 21.2. located near the entrance to the Emission Wing
- 21.3. grouped in logical order
 - 21.3.1. Test Site #1 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.2. Test Site #2 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.3. Test Site #3 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.4. Test Site #4 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.5. Test Site #5 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.6. Soak Area #1 Temperature and Humidity
 - 21.3.7. Soak Area #2 Temperature and Humidity

22. Honeywell HVAC Central Control Station

- 22.1. plaintiff directed Honeywell in the software configuration of the HVAC Central Control Station configuration
- 22.2. ten display pages laid out by Stanley R. Stasko
- 22.3. plaintiff specified the parameters to be displayed in logical groups
 - 22.3.1. Emissions Test Site #1 parameters
 - 22.3.2. Emissions Test Site #2 parameters
 - 22.3.3. Emissions Test Site #3 parameters
 - 22.3.4. Emissions Test Site #4 parameters
 - 22.3.5. Emissions Test Site #5 parameters
 - 22.3.6. Soak Area parameters
 - 22.3.7. Temperature and Humidity parameters
 - 22.3.8. Test Site status parameters
- 22.4. Honeywell DDC personal computer physically located in Emissions Wing Host Computer Room

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9522men140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 18 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

23. Smoke Detector Graphics Display Panel

- 23.1. the Emission Wing Renovation included installing raised flooring in areas previously with bare concrete floors
- 23.2. the Emission Wing Renovation included installing suspended ceilings in areas previously open ceilings
- 23.3. smoke detectors were installed above the suspended ceilings, on the exposed side of the suspended ceilings, and below the raised flooring
- 23.4. a Smoke Detector Graphics Display Panel was installed next to the Large Temperature and Humidity Display Panel to give General Motors Security a quick reference to the location of a alarm and / or fault of a smoke detector

24. Overhead Door Logic Controls

- 24.1. part of the Emissions Wing renovation was the installation of a vehicle air lock to environmentally isolate the Emissions Wing from the rest of the Engineering Building
- 24.2. an automobile trying to enter the Emissions Wing would approach the air lock; the first door would open; the vehicle would enter the air lock; the first door would close; the second door would open, then the vehicle would drive out of the vehicle air lock
- 24.3. the vehicle air lock was supplied by Utley-James (the outside contractor) and used conventional discrete logic (no Programmable Logic Controller) for controlling the sequence of the vehicle air lock operation
- 24.4. the overhead door logic controls does not work
- 24.5. the enclosure is so small there is practically no room to add any relays if necessary
- 24.6. plaintiff reviews the engineering prints and directs two UAW personal to rewire the Overhead Door logic controls according to plaintiff redesign; (this can be verified by talking to Jim and Frank the two UAW electricians)
- 24.7. the Overhead Door logic controls redesign is successful
- 24.8. plaintiff writes an Operations Memo
- 24.9. Operations memo distributed to appropriate personal

25. Software Programming Skills and Software Program Management

- 25.1. Wrote Basic Language software program for 47 MM Diesel particulate Filter Sampling System
- 25.2. Fortran language software programming at Lawrence Technological University Southfield, Michigan
- 25.3. Additional software programming at Lawrence Technological University Southfield, Michigan as part of a Special dual degree program in Mathematics and Computer Science
 - 25.3.1. Computer Tech 3 Course # 32,273
 - 25.3.2. Computer Science 1 Course # 32.425
 - 25.3.3. Computer Science 2 Course # 32.513
- 25.4. Microprocessor assembly language software programming at Lawrence Technological University Southfield, Michigan as part of BSEE degree program
- 25.5. Modicon 884 Programmable Logic Controller software programming for Emission Wing Emissions Analysis equipment
- 25.6. Modicon 984 Programmable Logic Controller software programming for Dynamometer Wing programmable logic controller enclosure application
- 25.7. showed EDS software personnel how Digital Machine Design techniques could be used to structure the software program for Dynamometer Wing Controlled Schedule Testing software
- 25.8. explained software requirements for Dynamometer Test Cell End-to-End Instrumentation calibration to EDS
- 25.9. Wrote Lotus 1-2-3 spreadsheet program to estimate the software headcount requirements
 - 25.9.1. Variables included: estimated software hours, vacation time, normal day-to-day software maintenance overhead, and new software employee effectiveness

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Bg-24men 140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 20 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

General Motors Technical Center – Engineering Building - Dynamometer Wing Renovation

26. Fuel Meter Calibration Cart

- 26.1. Old fuel meter calibration cart poured raw gasoline into an open container 26.1.1. Gasoline could spill unto floor
- 26.2. Old fuel meter calibration cart did not meet Class 1, Division 1, Group C / D requirements
- 26.3. New fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.4. All gasoline fuel enclosed in stainless steel or compatible material
- 26.5. Safety improved with Class 1, Division 1, Group D requirements; positive pressurization; or intrinsic safety
- 26.6. Employed new technology; EXAC coriollis meter
- 26.7. plaintiff worked with pulse counter supplier to modify pulse counter to plaintiff custom specifications
- 26.8. plaintiff designed and engineered fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.9. plaintiff selected major components for fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.10. plaintiff procured major components for fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.11. plaintiff specified interface wiring for fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.12. plaintiff wrote software program to semi-automate calibration report for the fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.13. plaintiff project managed the fabrication of the fuel meter calibration cart
- 26.14. this can be verified by contacting Karl Klida the General Motors Salaried technician assigned to fabricating the Fuel Meter Calibration Cart

27. Fuel Injector Test Stand Renovation

- 27.1. Surveyed project personnel to determine fuel pressure and flow requirements
- 27.2. Surveyed project personnel to determine problems with existing Fuel Injector Test Stand procured by General Motors that remained unresolved until plaintiff arrived in Dynamometer Wing
- 27.3. Design and engineering to make all wetted materials compatible with gasoline, methanol, and ethanol fuels
- 27.4. Design and engineering to make appropriate electrical equipment compatible with Class 1, Division 1, Group D requirements
- 27.5. Design and engineering to positive purge electrical enclosures to reduce rating from Class 1, Division 1, Group D to non-hazardous
- 27.6. Unique application of intrinsic safety barrier to make computer keyboard intrinsically safe in a Class 1, Division 1, Group D environment
- 27.7. Design and engineering of modification of electrical controls
 - 27.7.1. Application specific start-up procedure to ensure Fuel Injector Test Stand cannot be casually started by unauthorized personnel drifting into the remote Fuel Blend House
- 27.8. Specified, ordered, and procured major components
- 27.9. Coordinated the transfer of the Fuel Injector Test Stand from Test Cell #12 (old carburetor flow room) to Fuel Blend House
- Project management and project coordination of work activity between outside suppliers, General Motors salaried personnel, and UAW personnel
- 27.11. Assisted in equipment startup
- 27.12. Wrote start-up procedure for Fuel Injector Test Stand remote HP computer
- 27.13. to verify the scope of the changes to the Fuel Injector Test Stand renovation contact Lou Wine-nand (General Motors Engineer) and Dick Powel (General Motors Salaried Technician); also Steve Fry (a General Motors Salaried Technician from another General Motors Test Laboratory) who was familiar with using the renovated Fuel Injector Test Stand at the General Motors Technical Center, Dynamometer Wing

28. Elimination of Dynamometer Shimming

- 28.1. plaintiff eliminated Dynamometer shimming
- 28.2. this was a procedure that General Motors Dynamometer Maintenance personal could not explain its (have to pick the right word)
- 28.3. when plaintiff eliminated Dynamometer shimming, Doug Neumann was retired

29. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control

- 29.1. Significant equipment mounting space requirement reduction
 - 29.1.1. Space savings allowed for a larger PLC enclosure to be mounted on the basement test cell wall
 - 29.1.2. Space savings aided in bring about a more consistent equipment layout as each Dynamometer Test Cell was renovated
- 29.2. Design and engineering of new engine coolant and engine oil heat exchangers; (plaintiff procured the initial heat exchangers from Kundinger Fluid Power, Madison Heights, Michigan)
 - 29.2.1. Old engine coolant process cooling heat exchangers were oversized for modern smaller displacement engines
- 29.3. Design and engineering of new process control valves; (this can be verified by talking to SW Controls, 45345 Five Mile Road, Plymouth, Michigan 48170 one of the two finalist selected for bidding the control valve order)
 - 29.3.1. Engine coolant process cooling
 - 29.3.1.1. old engine coolant process cooling control valve was improperly sized and did not work unless process heating steam was injected to generate an artifical load
 - 29.3.2. Engine coolant process heating
 - 29.3.3. Engine oil process cooling
 - 29.3.4. Engine oil process heating
- 29.4. Replaced a mis-mash of solenoids, pipe sizes, control valves, and equipment layout configuration with a consistent design
- 29.5. plaintiff calculated new copper pipe sizes

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM **Dgc5i7nef1140** Filed 12/11/09 Page 23 of 50

29.6.	plaintiff calculated new solenoid specifications			
29.7.	plaintiff specified new water shock absorbers			
29.8.	Design and incorporation of new Honeywell UDC3000 process controllers			
	3.1. plaintiff specified product			
	3.2. plaintiff designed equipment interfacing			
	• • •			
	3.3. plaintiff designed hardware configuration			
29.8.4. plaintiff specified software configuration				
	3.5. plaintiff performed detailed input / output calibration			
29.8	3.6. plaintiff determined PID tuning parameters			
29.9.	plaintiff procured Techmation Protuner 2000 and introduce new technology in the			
tuning of PID process controller				
29.9	2.1. no more trial-and-error, or guessing			
29.9	2.2. could be used with Honeywell UDC3000 process controllers or any of a large			
	array of PID process controllers			
29.9	2.3. to verify that plaintiff Techmation Protuner 2000 contact Techmation 8070 E.			
	Morgan Trail, Suite 150, Scottsdale, Arizona 85258-1228			
29.10.	New engine coolant process cooling and heating support stand located in			
Dyn	namometer test cell			
29.1	0.1. Engine coolant process cooling heat exchanger			
29.1	0.2. Engine coolant process heating heat exchanger			
29.1	0.3. Expansion tank (new expansion tank size calculated by plaintiff)			
29.1	0.4. Pressure relief valve			
29.1	0.5. Coolant fill port			
29.1	0.6. Coolant level sight glass			
29.1	0.7. Coolant presence safety probe			
29.1	0.8. Overflow tube			
29.1	0.9. By relocating the engine coolant process cooling heat exchanger and			
	engine coolant process heating heat exchanger to the support stand located in the			

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Bgctmeht10 Filed 12/11/09 Page 24 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

Dynamometer test cell the amount of antifreeze required to fill the engine coolant system was reduced from approximately 20 gallons to 5 gallons

- 29.11. Specified, ordered, and procured major components including heat exchangers, control valves, solenoid, water shock absorbers, and Honeywell UDC300 process controllers
- 29.12. Coordinated the design of the new engine coolant process cooling and engine coolant process heating heat exchanger support stand
- 29.13. Project management and project coordination of work activity between outside suppliers, General Motors salaried personnel, and UAW personnel; (this can be verified by contacting Dave Van-poel-e-vor-de) the General Motors Salaried technician assigned to various Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control projects)
- 29.14. plaintiff provided detailed equipment startup
- 29.15. Wrote and maintained detailed Honeywell UDC3000 input / output configuration tables and PID tuning parameters

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM **Pgc59**neft140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 25 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

30. DSP Combustion Analysis System

- 30.1. Original report on combustion analysis made by General Motors Research
- 30.2. General Motors Corporation then worked with DSP Technology to sell Combustion Analysis Systems to General Motors Corporation
- plaintiff task was to procure two integrated Combustion Analysis Systems from DSP Technology
- 30.4. Since plaintiff was a designer / engineer / project manager plaintiff decided to allow two Test Cell Operators (people who would actually use the equipment) to travel to DSP Technology in California, USA
- 30.5. The two Test Cell Operators selected were Eric Dobis and Denise Montville
 - 30.5.1. Eric Dobis went on the first trip; the timeframe can be easily pinpointed since Eric Dobis visited DSP Technology during the famous California earthquake that caused a major bridge to collapse
 - 30.5.2. Denise Montville went on the second trip; the timeframe can be narrowed down since Denise Montville called plaintiff because he was having problems charging items with his credit card
- 30.6. plaintiff reward for successfully procuring two integrated Combustion Analysis

 Systems => Basically nothing

31. DSP Combustion Analysis System - Several years later

- 31.1. Several years later General Motors Corporation and DSP Technology had a problem with the DSP Combustion Analysis Systems that General Motors Corporation could not solve nor could DSP Technology solve
 - 31.1.1. This can be verified by talking to General Motors engineer Tony Sperling or with DSP Technology (try DSP Technology sales representative Tim Sante)
- 31.2. General Motors Corporation got so desperate that they accused DSP Technology of having a software virus in their equipment
- 31.3. General Motors Corporation asked plaintiff to try to solve the problem
- 31.4. The basic problem DSP Technology Combustion Analysis System RPM signal unstable
- 31.5. Example: 2400 RPM + / a lot of fluctuation
- 31.6. plaintiff within minutes breaks solves the problem
- 31.7. RPM signal from one pulse per revolution signal
- 31.8. 2400 RPM equals 40 pulses per second
- Display updates approximately one update per second
- 31.10. Therefore RPM signal accuracy at 2400 RPM equals 40 pulses + / 1 pulse equals 2.5 percent accuracy
- 31.11. 2400 RPM * 2.5 percent equals 60 RPM
- 31.12. 2400 RPM + / 60 RPM; Problem solved!
- 31.13. Remember nobody in General Motors Corporation nor in DSP Technology could figure out the problem
- 31.14. plaintiff reward for solving this problem basically nothing

32. Druck Pressure Transducers

- 32.1. plaintiff was willing to break away from many years of using Viatran pressure transducers in Emission Wing Emissions Testing and Dynamometer Wing Dynamometer Testing and switch to Druck pressure transducers
- plaintiff developed custom pressure transducer specifications for Dynamometer
 Testing
 - 32.2.1. old Viatran pressure transducers
 - 32.2.1.1. 0.40 percent full scale accuracy
 - 32.2.1.2. problems with temperature drift
 - 32.2.1.3. problems with long term stability
- 32.3. new Druck pressure transducers
 - 32.3.1. 0.15 percent full scale accuracy
 - 32.3.2. tighter temperature drift specifications
 - 32.3.3. greater long term stability; greater than 6 months without recalibration required
- 32.4. relocating the Druck Pressure Transducers to the new Instrumentation Booms allowed for the elimination of the Dynamometer Test Cell secondary console
 - 32.4.1. also eliminated the accumulation of fluid from the pressure transducer sample lines
 - 32.4.2. also eliminated long pressure transducer sample lines
- 32.5. by selecting Druck Pressure Transducers plaintiff expanded General Motors supplier base; plaintiff expanded his experience with working with multiple vendors
- plaintiff supported using Druck pressure transducers, Paul Durrenberg supported using Viatran pressure transducers
- 32.7. plaintiff evaluated Druck and Viatran pressure transducers (that meet plaintiff custom pressure transducer specifications) and showed that Druck pressure transducers performed better than the Viatran pressure transducers
- 32.8. Druck pressure transducers first installed in Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 28 of 50

- 32.8.1. some pressure transducers operated over one year without re-calibration and still operated within Dynamometer Wing Test Cell operating specifications (within +/- one percent)
- 32.9. because the Druck pressure transducers were mounted in overhead operators boom this helped in eliminating of Dynamometer Test Cell Operators Console (located inside Test Cell)
- 32.10. Tim Sante, a sales representative for a supplier, specifically asked plaintiff about his application of pressure transducers in Dynamometer Testing
- 32.11. One Druck pressure transducer mysteriously damaged; would be interesting to see if it was sabotaged (plaintiff caught PED committing sabotaged)

33. New Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) and PLC Enclosure

- 33.1. Old Mechanical Box removed
- 33.2. Old wiring removed
- 33.3. Old conduit, old wire-way, and old oversized junction boxes removed
- 33.4. plaintiff ended the old practice of trying to reuse the existing conduit and wiring (General Motors did not support the scrapping of the existing conduit, the existing wireway, and the existing oversized junction boxes until General Motors seen how good the Dynamometer Test Cell looked with the new electrical conduit)
- 33.5. plaintiff proved he could design and engineer an entire Programmable Logic Controller for a Dynamometer Test Cell
- 33.6. plaintiff redesign of the Engine Coolant Temperature and Engine Oil Temperature Process Control System opened up the needed wall space for the larger Programmable Logic Controller Enclosure
- 33.7. The new Programmable Logic Controller enclosure, the new electrical conduit, the carefully layout of the new equipment, and the fresh painting of the work area transformed the Dynamometer Test Cell basement work area into a modern looking Dynamometer Test Lab
 - 33.7.1. plaintiff forced General Motors to take a clean sheet approach
 - 33.7.1.1. the reader can not appreciate how much harassment plaintiff received from General Motors for not reusing the existing conduit, the existing wire-way, and the existing oversized junction boxes
 - 33.7.2. Prior to plaintiff transferring into the Dynamometer Wing from the Emissions Wing, an ISSC Programmable Logic Controller was implemented in an existing Mechanical Box (the surrounding Dynamometer Test Cell basement work area still looked outdated)
 - 33.7.3. A picture speaks a thousand words to the aesthetic improvement made by plaintiff with the new Programmable Logic Controller Enclosure, the new electrical conduit, the carefully layout of the new equipment, and the fresh painting of the work area in transforming the Dynamometer Test Cell basement

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Becument 40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 30 of 50

- 33.8. plaintiff designed and engineered the interfacing of the Programmable Logic Controller enclosure to:
 - 33.8.1. a manual push button interface panel in Dynamometer Test Cell #11
 - 33.8.2. a Video Based Man-Machine Interface in later Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
 - 33.8.3. a new Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 33.8.4. a new Temperature and RPM safety meters
 - 33.8.5. a new General Electric Solid State Dynamometer Controller in Dynamometer
 Test Cell #11
 - 33.8.6. old style General Electric Motor- Generator Dynamometer Controller in other Dynamometer Test Cells (to verify that plaintiff knew how to interface to an old style General Electric Motor-Generator Dynamometer Controller contact Michael Delduca at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105)
 - 33.8.6.1. when plaintiff work for DSP Technology, he was assigned to renovate one test cell EPA which required the interfacing to an old style General Electric Motor Generator Dynamometer Conttoller
 - 33.8.7. a new Meiden AC Dynamometer Controller in other non- General Electric Motor

 Generator Dynamometer Controller Test Cells
- 33.9. plaintiff utilized Graysoft Software in conjunction with Autocad Computer Aided Design Software to generate the required PLC Enclosure fabrication prints
 - 33.9.1. in other words plaintiff generated all Graysoft Software PLC Enclosure fabrication prints
- plaintiff did the equivalent work of multiple full time General Motor employeesone of the roles plaintiff performed was the equivalent work of a full timeCAD designer
 - 33.10.2. plaintiff did the equivalent work of a full time secretary making the necessary blue prints, keeping prints stored and organized, and distributing prints to the appropriate Dynamometer Test Lab technicians

- 33.11. plaintiff designed and engineered the software programming of the Programmable Logic Controller including:
 - 33.11.1. the manual push button interface panel in Dynamometer Test Cell #13
 - 33.11.2. converting the Programmable Logic Controller software programming for use with Video Based Man-Machine Interface in later Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
 - 33.11.3. ensuring the Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit was Safe prior to allowing the Dynamometer Test Cell could begin the start-up sequence
 - 33.11.4. the Dynamometer Test Cell start-up sequence ensured that
 - 33.11.4.1. the Dynamometer Motor-Generator was enabled prior to the Dynamometer being turned on
 - 33.11.4.2. the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation was enabled prior to the Dynamometer being turned on
 - 33.11.4.3. without getting into all the start-up sequence details it is sufficient to note that the PLC software anticipated a specific Dynamometer Test Cell start-up sequence
- 33.12. Specified, ordered, and procured major components
 - Including PLC processor, PLC housings, and PLC modules
- 33.13. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried personnel, software personnel and UAW personnel
- 33.14. Provided detailed startup assistance

34. New CPI Front-end Equipment

- 34.1. Eliminated over one dozen relays by eliminating relay switching for Thermocouple signals
- 34.2. Eliminated thermocouple cold junction heater ovens; thereby, saving electricity and space
- 34.3. Elimination of using an non-standard thermocouple cold junction reference temperature
- 34.4. Reduction from Type-J and Type-K thermocouples to Type-K only; thereby, reducing operator error, and reducing material procurement / storage requirements
- 34.5. Reduction of two thermocouple software calibration curves to one thermocouple calibration curve
- 34.6. Eliminated confusing North / South thermocouple switching
 - 34.6.1. When North end is selected: North T/C = 1 to 10
 - 34.6.2. South T/C = 11 to 20
 - 34.6.3. When South end is selected: North T/C = 11 to 20
 - 34.6.4. South T/C = 1 to 10
- 34.7. Pressure transducers relocated in overhead operators
 - 34.7.1. helped in eliminating of Dynamometer Test Cell Operators Console
 - 34.7.2. elimination of fluid accumulation in pressure transducer sample lines
 - 34.7.3. elimination of long pressure transducer sample lines
- 34.8. Eliminated Dynamometer Test Cell Operator Console
 - 34.8.1. Freed up space for new Sample Conditioning Unit
- 34.9. converted existing low usage closet into an important signal interface closet for new 19 inch rack mountable terminal strips; thereby, eliminating calibration technicians working on hands and knees
- 34.10. Specified and coordinated UAW work activity for seven inch diameter hole core through solid wall
- 34.11. Design and engineering of instrumentation interfacing which included
 - 34.11.1. HP computer / CATS software configuration information

- 34.11.2. Instrumentation terminal strip interfacing
- 34.11.3. Instrumentation interface cable specification, wire color, cable numbering
- 34.11.4. Interfacing to signal conditioning modules as needed
- 34.11.5. Interfacing to thermocouples, pressure transducers, analog input connectors, and Emissions analysis equipment
- 34.11.6. interfacing to Emissions Analyzers for range sense and range selection control (plaintiff interfaced to Emissions Analyzers range sense and range selection control when plaintiff worked for DSP Technology and was assigned to renovate one test cell renovation for Chrysler Livonia; contact Thomas Lawrence or David Bjarnesen at Chrysler Corporation 37200 Amrhein, Livonia, Michigan 48150-1108)
- 34.12. Documentation of over 50 pages of instrumentation interfacing
- 34.13. Design and engineering of instrumentation power distribution
 - 34.13.1. Power supply interfacing
 - 34.13.2. Power distribution fuse protection; you could dead short an analog input +24 VDC, +12 VDC, or +5 VDC voltage source at the test cell operators boom and a fuse protected the wiring and power supplies from damage
 - 34.13.3. Visual blown fuse indicators for easy diagnostics
- 34.14. Design and engineering of instrumentation grounding and shielding
- 34.15. Specified, ordered, and procured major components; (verify by contacting Ted Ma-ko-viak the sales representative of the CPI front-end equipment)
 - 34.15.1. Including CPI front-end equipment, CPI terminal barriers, signal conditioning modules, pressure transducers, interface wiring, and electrical connectors
- 34.16. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried personnel, software personnel and UAW personnel
- 34.17. Provided detailed startup assisted; (this can be verified by contacting Karl Klida; a General Motors Salaried technician who worked on various CPI Front-end Equipment projects)

35. AutoCAD Drawings

- 35.1. Farris Murray generated the two-dimensional and three-dimensional drawings for the fabrication of the Instrumentation Booms from sheet metal
- 35.2. plaintiff completed "Beginning AutoCAD" at General Motors Institute Engineering & Management Institute; Certificate dated May 18, 1989
- 35.3. When plaintiff completed AutoCAD training, plaintiff designed the 2-dimentional drawings for the electrical connectors interface panels (plural); Interfacing the engine-under-test to the various Dynamometer Test Cell Measurement and Control Systems including:
 - 35.3.1. Type-K thermocouple interface
 - 35.3.2. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil temperature control interface
 - 35.3.3. Auxiliary analog input interface
 - 35.3.4. Auxiliary pulse signal input interface
 - 35.3.5. Engine Over-speed interface
 - 35.3.6. Engine Coolant circulation rate and Engine Oil circulation rate
- 35.4. plaintiff designed all the two-dimensional AutoCAD drawings for fabrication of the electrical connectors interface panels by machine shop personnel
- 35.5. plaintiff designed all the two-dimensional AutoCAD graphics drawings for the Art Work for the electrical connector interface panels text
- 35.6. Once plaintiff completed "Beginning AutoCAD" at General Motors Institute
 Engineering & Management Institute, a transition from Farris Murray to plaintiff
 generating all the two-dimensional AutoCAD graphics drawings associated with the new
 CPI front-end equipment in a Dynamometer Test Cell renovation
- 35.7. Once plaintiff completed "Beginning AutoCAD" at General Motors Institute
 Engineering & Management Institute, a transition from Farris Murray to plaintiff
 generating all the two-dimensional AutoCAD graphics drawings associated with the new
 Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure in a Dynamometer Test Cell
 renovation
 - 35.7.1. Including interfacing to:

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Pgc69nefit40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 35 of 50

- 35.7.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
- 35.7.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
- 35.7.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
- 35.7.5. Manual push button Test Cell interface panel
- 35.7.6. Dynamometer Controller
- 35.7.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
- 35.7.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
- 35.7.9. Motor Control Center
- 35.8. Fuel System controls
- 35.9. plaintiff ran the blue prints and distribute the documentation to the various

 General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing
 salaried personnel, software personnel and UAW personnel

36. New Instrumentation Booms

- 36.1. The existing Instrumentation Booms were removed and scrapped
- 36.2. The Instrumentation booms:
 - 36.2.1. provided routing for analog signal interfacing cable from the CPI front-end equipment to the engine-under-test
 - 36.2.2. provided routing for discrete signal interfacing cable
 - 36.2.3. provided routing for Engine Control Module interfacing from the Operator's Control Cabinet to the engine-under-test
 - 36.2.4. provided housing for the Druck pressure transducers
 - 36.2.5. provided housing for the Emissions Analysis Cylinder Distribution Manifold from the engine-under-test to the Dynamometer Wing Emission Sample Conditioning Unit; Emission Wing personnel provided and installed the Emissions Analysis Cylinder Distribution Manifold, the Dynamometer Wing Emission Sample Conditioning Unit
- 36.3. The new Instrumentation Booms had three segregated compartments to reduce electrical noise interference between:
 - 36.3.1. the analog interfacing cable
 - 36.3.2. the discrete signal interfacing cable
 - 36.3.3. the Engine Control Module interfacing from the Operator's Control Cabinet to the engine-under-test
- 36.4. plaintiff specified the Instrumentation Boom compartment segregation
- 36.5. Farris Mur-ray generated the two-dimensional and three-dimensional drawings for the fabrication of the Instrumentation Booms from sheet metal
- 36.6. UAW hourly personnel fabricated, painted, and installed the Instrumentation Booms; (to verify plaintiff worked with UAW personnel contact Bob Welsh; plaintiff knew Bob Welsh as the highest ranking UAW representative in GM Technical Center, Engineering Building, Warren, Michigan from approximately CY1989 to CY1995)
- 36.7. GM salaried Test Cell Operator installed the appropriate Engine Control Module equipment and interfacing for the engine-under-test

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM ₱ਊcu♣n@fil40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 37 of 50

- 36.8. plaintiff completed "Beginning AutoCAD" at General Motors Institute
 Engineering & Management Institute; Certificate dated May 18, 1989
 - 36.9. When plaintiff completed AutoCAD training plaintiff did the 2-dimentional drawings for the electrical connectors interface panels (plural); Interfacing the engine-under-test to the various Dynamometer Test Cell Measurement and Control Systems including:
 - 36.9.1. Type-K thermocouple interface
 - 36.9.2. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil temperature control interface
 - 36.9.3. Auxiliary analog input interface
 - 36.9.4. Auxiliary pulse signal input interface
 - 36.9.5. Engine Over-speed interface and an interpretable product how satisfied interface
 - 36.9.6. Engine Coolant circulation rate and Engine Oil circulation rate
 - 36.10. plaintiff designed (with AutoCAD) all the two-dimensional drawings for fabrication by machine shop personnel
 - 36.11. plaintiff designed with AutoCAD all the two-dimensional graphics drawings for the Art Work for the electrical connector interface panels text
 - 36.12. Since UAW Hourly personnel fabricated the Instrumentation Booms from sheet metal no procurement of major components from outside vendors / suppliers was necessary
 - 36.12.1. This is important to note because from plaintiff long list of Project

 Management experience he has proved to be successful with working with Outside

 Construction Contractors, GM suppliers, GM salaried personnel, and UAW hourly

 personnel
 - 36.13. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Emission Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, and UAW hourly personnel

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c7metn140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 38 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

37. Humidity and Ambient Temperature Sensor per Test Cell

- 37.1. in the Emission Wing Renovation plaintiff selected EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor
- 37.2. the Dynamometer Wing renovation was considering using also EG&G Dew Point Meters and Ambient Temperature Sensors
- 37.3. plaintiff determined that there was a humidity gradient in the Dynamometer Wing; therefore, two EG&G Dew Point Meters and Ambient Temperature Sensors would not suffice in covering the entire Dynamometer Wing
- 37.4. plaintiff mathematically determined that lower cost Ambient Temperature Sensors and Relative Humidity sensors could be used instead of the more expensive EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensor
- 37.5. one Ambient Temperature Sensors and Relative Humidity sensor per

 Dynamometer Test Cell (approximately 20 units total) approximately the same cost of

 (2) EG&G Dew Point Meter and Ambient Temperature Sensors
- 37.6. plaintiff determined the conversion algorithm from (Percent Relative Humidity and Ambient Temperature) to Dew Point for implementation in Dynamometer Test Cell

38. Dynamometer Test Cell #13 Renovation

- 38.1. The first modern, integrated Dynamometer Test Cell renovation at the General Motors Technical Center; completed in CY1990
- 38.2. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 38.3. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure into Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; see above in resume for details
 - 38.3.1. Including interfacing to Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 38.3.2. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 38.3.3. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 38.3.4. Manual push button Test Cell interface panel
 - 38.3.5. General Electric Solid State Dynamometer Controller
 - 38.3.6. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 38.3.7. Supply and Exhaust Fan for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
 - 38.3.8. Existing Motor Control Center
- 38.4. Aaron Trammel fabricated the Fuel System control enclosure that housed the Fuel System control solenoids
- 38.5. plaintiff incorporated new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 38.6. plaintiff incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 38.7. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated the first Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system into Dynamometer Test Cell #13
- 38.8. plaintiff new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; see above in resume for details
 - 38.8.1. after over one year the Druck Pressure Transducers remained within calibration specifications; a significant maintenance time and cost savings

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Becument 40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 40 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

38.9. plan	ntiff takes no credit for Cell #13 Motor Control Center; this was a piece of
extra equip	ment from the Dynamometer Wing blend-house renovation project
38.10. Spe	cified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:
38.10.1.	new CPI Front-end equipment
38.10.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
38.10.3.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
38.10.4.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers
38.11. Gen	erated the required documentation for the design of:
38.11.1.	new CPI Front-end equipment
38.11.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
38.11.3.	new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
38.11.4.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
38.11.5.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration
38.11.5	1. one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
38.11.5	2. one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
38.11.5	3. one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
38.11.6.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
38.11.7.	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
38.11.8.	existing Motor Control Center
38.12. Proj	ect management and project coordination of work activity between General
Motors Dy	namometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried
personnel,	software personnel and UAW personnel by writing project activity timeline
utilizing T	imeline project management software
38.12.1.	verify by contacting Bob Welsh; plaintiff knew Bob Welsh as the highest
rankin	g UAW representative in GM Technical Center, Engineering Building,
Warre	n. Michigan from approximately CY1989 to CY1995)

new Programmable Logic Controller hardware

new CPI Front-end equipment (can be verified with Karl Klida)

Provided detailed startup assisted for:

38.13.

38.13.1. 38.13.2.

- 38.13.3. new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
- 38.13.4. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment (can be verified with John Carver or Dave Van-poel-e-vor-de) new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
- 38.13.5. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration
 - 38.13.5.1. one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
 - 38.13.5.2. one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
 - 38.13.5.3. one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
- 38.13.6. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 38.13.7. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 38.13.8. existing Motor Control Center
- 38.14. first modern and integrated Dynamometer Test Cell renovation
 - 38.14.1. Prior to plaintiff renovating Dynamometer Test Cells, Dynamometer Test Cell engineers and managers would come-and-go readily
 - 38.14.1.1. Phil Mo-han, Aaron Shin, Jim K-hill, Dave Thacher, Clark Bell, Steve Kaatz
 - 38.14.2. Prior to plaintiff renovating a Dynamometer Test Cell basically consisted of updating a piece of equipment (like a new exhaust fan) and maybe a fresh coat of paint.
 - 38.14.3. over time Dynamometer Test Cells were becoming a crows nest of one-of-a-kind equipment
 - 38.14.4. Dynamometer Test Cell #13 honestly looked like a new Dynamometer Test Cell looks new!
- 38.15. Prior to plaintiff renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #13, plaintiff knows of nobody in General Motors Corporation designing, engineering, and project managing an entire Dynamometer Test Cell renovation in-house; a major project like this would have been outsourced to a company like Sverdrup (now Jacobs Engineering) and would have cost General Motors hundreds of thousands of dollars; plaintiff did the complete job for a fraction of the cost

- 38.16. When plaintiff renovated Dynamometer Test Cell #13 in CY1990 the modern Personal Computer were in their infancy plaintiff did the work normally associated with approximately nine people
 - 38.16.1. one Instrumentation engineer
 - 38.16.2. one Electrical engineer; the reader has to remember that in the late-1980's a Personal Computer might only have 640 to 1,024 kilobytes of memory (over 1000 times smaller than modern Personal Computers); therefore, each engineering major application like programming the Programmable Logic Controllers might have its own stand-alone programming device
 - 38.16.3. one Process Controls engineer; the reader has to remember that in the late-1980's the modern 3 GHz Personal Computer with 2 Gigabyte plus of memory did not exist; therefore, each engineering discipline would have been assigned to different individuals
 - 38.16.4. one Mechanical engineer
 - 38.16.5. one Project Manager
 - 38.16.6. one AutoCAD designer and one technical designers to generate the documentation
 - 38.16.7. one secretary to copy and distribute the documentation; the reader has to remember that in the late-1980's the modern Microsoft multi-application software was not deployed in Engineering Building Dynamometer Wing (Microsoft Windows 95 equals CY1995); therefore, converting 50 plus pages of CPI Front-end Equipment spreadsheet documentation from Portrait printout to Landscape printout was a major task in late-1980's
 - 38.16.8. one to two technicians for start-up of the equipment
- 38.17. plaintiff asked to go to personnel
 - 38.17.1. General Motors personnel asks plaintiff a series of questions
 - 38.17.2. people are falsely claiming to be plaintiff boss
 - 38.17.2.1. General Motors asks => Was Don Nagy ever your boss? plaintiff reply => Never

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 43 of 50

- 38.17.2.2. General Motors asks => Was Chris Killien ever your boss? plaintiff reply => Never
- 38.17.2.3. General Motors asks => Was Paul Durrenberg ever your boss? plaintiff reply => Yes (Paul Durrenberg was plaintiff supervisor when plaintiff was a High School co-op student; Paul Durrenberg was never plaintiff boss when plaintiff hired into General Motors as an engineer)
- 38.17.3. General Motors asks plaintiff are you ready for 8th level???; plaintiff responded by saying give him one more year
 - 38.17.3.1. please note General Motors never called plaintiff back to personnel for an 8th level or 9th level promotion
 - 38.17.3.2. in retrospect by asking plaintiff are you ready for 8th level General Motors still did not think that plaintiff had earned his 8th level
 - 38.17.3.3. in retrospect plaintiff did the correct thing by not accepting an 8th level position since plaintiff earned his 8th level with the Emission Wing Renovation and plaintiff earned his 9th level with Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation
 - 38.17.3.4. plaintiff should have gone from 7th level to 9th level (and received a 1.30 X 1.30 equals 1.69) and received a 69 percent pay increase at minimum
 - 38.17.3.5. in retrospect plaintiff should have received a bonus (over and above his pay raise) for each Dynamometer Test Cell Renovation he completed since a major project like this would have been normally outsourced to a company like Sverdrup (now Jacobs Engineering) and would have cost General Motors hundreds of thousands of dollars more; thereby, saving General Motors hundreds of thousands of dollars in Dynamometer Test Cell renovation costs
 - 38.17.3.6. more pay discrimination evidence later in this resume

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9-78-0f-140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 44 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

39. Dynamometer Test Cell #3 Renovation

- 39.1. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #3 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 39.2. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic

 Controller and PLC Enclosure into Dynamometer Test Cell #3 renovation; see New PLC above in resume for additional details
 - 39.2.1. Including interfacing to Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 39.2.2. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 39.2.3. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 39.2.4. Manual push button Test Cell interface panel
 - 39.2.5. Meiden AC Solid State Dynamometer Controller
 - 39.2.6. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 39.2.7. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
 - 39.2.8. Existing Motor Control Center
- 39.3. Aaron Trammel fabricated the Fuel System control enclosure
- 39.4. plaintiff incorporated new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #3 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 39.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine
 Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #03 renovation; see above for details
- 39.6. plaintiff designed and engineered Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system for Dynamometer Test Cell #03
- 39.7. plaintiff incorporated new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #3 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 39.8. Cell #03 Motor Control Center was a piece of existing equipment; Cell #03 Motor Control Center replace with an Allen-Bardley Motor Control Center at a future date
- 39.9. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:
 - 39.9.1. new CPI Front-end equipment
 - 39.9.2. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Doc 909140 Filed 12/11/09 Page 45 of 50

3	39.9.3.	new Er	agine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipm	nent
3	39.9.4.	new Ho	oneywell UDC3000 Process Controllers	
39.1	0.	Genera	ted the required documentation for the design of:	
3	39.10.1		over 50 pages of documentation for new CPI Front-e	end equipment
3	39.10.2		new Programmable Logic Controller hardware	
3	39.10.3	5.	new Programmable Logic Controller software progra	amming
3	39.10.4		new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control	equipment
3	39,10.5	i.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers confi	guration
	39.	10.5.1.	one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Contro	ol
	39.	10.5.2.	one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control	
	39.	10.5.3.	one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and press	sure control
3	39.10.6	.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration	
3	39.10.7	P	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration	1
3	39.10.8	3.	existing Motor Control Center	
39.1	1.	Project	management and project coordination of work activi	ty between General
1500	Motor	s Dynar	mometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors En	nission Wing salaried
	person	nel, sof	tware personnel and UAW personnel	
39.1	2.	Provide	ed detailed startup assisted for:	
3	39.12.1	119	new CPI Front-end equipment	
3	39.12.2	<i>.</i> .	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware	
3	39.12.3		new Programmable Logic Controller software progr	amming
3	39.12.4	(را د.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control	equipment
3	39.12.5	<i>5.</i>	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers confi	guration
	39.	12.5.1.	one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Contr	ol
	39.	12.5.2.	one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control	
	39.	12.5.3.	one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and press	sure control
3	39.12.6	Š.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration	
3	39.12.7	7.	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration	n
39.1	3.	plaintif	ff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #3 =	>> Basically nothing

40. Natural Gas Compressor

- 40.1. the Natural Gas Compressor project is an example of plaintiff simultaneously designing, engineering, and / or project managing other Dynamometer Test Cell projects while simultaneously continuing to renovate other Dynamometer Test Cells
 - 40.1.1. plaintiff also made improvements to Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
- 40.2. plaintiff wrote the specifications for the procurement of one Natural Gas compressor
 - 40.2.1. Natural Gas compressor had to meet Class 1, Division 1, Group D explosionproof electrical requirements
 - 40.2.2. Natural Gas compressor was to be located outside of the Dynamometer Test Cell exposed to the Summer and Winter temperature conditions
 - 40.2.3. one unique major component of the Natural Gas compressor system was a large Natural Gas dryer used to remove the water vapor from the Natural Gas since the unit was exposed to the winter cold
 - 40.2.4. Dynamometer Test Cell personnel specified Natural Gas compressor pressure (X PSIG) and flow (X CFM) requirements; this information can be verified with Dynamometer Test Cell manager Jim Currie
- 40.3. plaintiff provided detailed project management / leadership by working with the potential Natural Gas compressor suppliers to continuously refine the specifications, reduce the number of potential suppliers, and answer questions
- 40.4. plaintiff calculated and specified a new two inch Natural Gas supply line to be installed from the Engineering Building basement near the North Lobby to exterior of Dynamometer Wing near Dynamometer Test Cell #2 / #3 area
- 40.5. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel and UAW personnel
- 40.6. Provided detailed startup assisted as needed
- 40.7. plaintiff reward for the Natural Gas compressor project => basically nothing

41. Dynamometer Vault Spray Renovation

- 41.1. in a Dynamometer Test Cell the engine-under-test exhaust is directed into a large basement vault (over 3 feet wide X over 10 feet long X over 7 feet tall) in a near outside barometric pressure environment
- 41.2. cooling the Dynamometer Vault from the heat generated by the engine-under-test consisted of ventilation air and spraying recirc water
- 41.3. What is recirc water? Imagine a washing machine where city water is the clean water a person puts into the washer to clean his clothes. Recirc water is the dirty discharge water from the washer. The recirc water is recirculated over and over again; thereby, getting dirtier over time.
- 41.4. The combination of the corrosive exhaust gas from the engine-under-test and the recirc water spray caused the concrete dynamometer vaults to deteriorate (literally start to crumble apart) over time
- 41.5. please note that the Dynamometer Test Cell Operator has to work in the Dynamometer Vault when the engine-under-test is not running to properly suspend the engine-under-test exhaust system; this makes for a dirty and potentially unhealthy work environment
- 41.6. plaintiff converted the Dynamometer Vault spray from recirc water to clean city water to make for a cleaner and healthier work environment
- 41.7. using the Programmable Logic Controller the Dynamometer Vault spray could be turned off (thus saving on water consumption) with the option of manually turning on the Dynamometer Vault spray by the Dynamometer Test Cell Operator if needed
- 41.8. please note: later in the resume plaintiff will replace four Aux. Temperature

 Safety Meters with a Modicon Analog Input Module; as plaintiff was leaving General

 Motors in CY 1995 he was preparing to further automate the Dynamometer Vault spray
 by monitoring the Dynamometer Vault temperature with an averaging RTP temperature
 sensor

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Doc 2004-11 10 Filed 12/11/09 Page 48 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

42. Designed a Custom Pulse Circuit Board

- 42.1. Kevin, a black male, from Tom Slaughter's electronics group one day came to plaintiff office and asked plaintiff to design a custom pulse delay circuit using IC (integrated circuit) chips
- 42.2. plaintiff designed the circuit using trigger delay chips
- 42.3. plaintiff generated the necessary documentation and forwarded the documentation to Kevin
- 42.4. this proves plaintiff could design electronic circuits; therefore, his depth of skills extended beyond Emission and Dynamometer Laboratory renovations
- 42.5. this proves plaintiff would help black people; despite the fact General Motors race baited plaintiff on many occasions
- 42.6. plaintiff reward for designing this custom pulse delay circuit => basically nothing
- 43. PSI High Speed and High Channel Count Pressure Measurement System for Turbine Testing (Cell #04 / #05 area)
 - 43.1. plaintiff procured a PSI High Speed and High Channel Count Pressure

 Measurement System for Turbine Testing (Cell #04 / #05 area)
 - 43.2. EDS was responsible for integrating the PSI High Speed and High Channel Count Pressure Measurement System into the standard Dynamometer Wing CATS System; contact Chris Killeen to verify
 - 43.3. EDS never proved to plaintiff it could integrate the PSI High Speed and High Channel Count Pressure Measurement System into the standard Dynamometer Wing CATS System
 - 43.4. the PSI High Speed and High Channel Count Pressure Measurement System mysteriously disappeared

44. Chassis Dynamometer Renovation

- 44.1. The Chassis Dynamometer located in the Dynamometer Wing was similar to the Emission Test Sites located in the Engineering Building Emissions Wing but instead of having a twin roll electric dynamometer, the Chassis Dynamometer had a single 48 inch diameter roll electric dynamometer
- 44.2. plaintiff designed and engineered the Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Chassis Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation control; the most noticeable difference between the Supply and Exhaust fan controls in the Chassis Dynamometer Test Cell and a standard Dynamometer Test Cell renovation was the size of the motors involved
 - 44.2.1. standard Dynamometer Test Cell Supply Fan motor => 7 ½ H.P.
 - 44.2.2. Chassis Dynamometer Test Cell Supply Fan motor => 250 H.P.
 - 44.2.3. standard Dynamometer Test Cell Exhaust Fan motor => 7 ½ H.P. to 15 H.P.
 - 44.2.4. Chassis Dynamometer Test Cell Exhaust Fan motor => 75 H.P.
- 44.3. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure into Chassis Dynamometer renovation similar to Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation; adjusted for Chassis Dynamometer specific requirements
- 44.4. plaintiff designed and engineered new heat lamp process controls; heat lamps are not part of a typical Emission Test Site
- 44.5. plaintiff designed new operator's console and specified control room modifications
- 44.6. Bruce Johnson (TSGF) was responsible for extending the Chassis Dynamometer Test Cell North
- 44.7. Terri Hostetter recommended using SWEO power controller for the 48 inch diameter roll electric dynamometer

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B984 of 11/0 Filed 12/11/09 Page 50 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

45. Dynamometer Test Cell #07 Renovation with New Hemi-anechoic Chamber

- 45.1. Dynamometer Test Cell #07 was used for measuring engine noise
- 45.2. When plaintiff renovated Dynamometer Test Cell #07 the Test Cell was single-ended and motored the engine-under-test; therefore, Dynamometer Test Cell #07 was more limited in scope as compared to Dynamometer Test Cell #13 or Dynamometer Test Cell #03
- 45.3. Nevertheless Dynamometer Test Cell #07 had one highly unique aspect to its renovation, the renovation included the installation of a New Hemi-anechoic Chamber
- 45.4. To help the reader understand what a Hemi-anechoic Chamber is try to imagine standing alone in an open field in the middle of Kansas or Nebraska; the sounds you make are only reflected by the ground below your feet and no sound reflections from any other direction; a Hemi-anechoic Chamber tries to simulate the experience standing alone in an open field in the middle of Kansas or Nebraska
- 45.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation; approximately half the size of Cell #13 renovation
- 45.6. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller into Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation; approximately half the size of Cell #13 renovation
 - 45.6.1. Including interfacing to:
 - 45.6.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 45.6.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 45.6.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 45.6.5. Manual push button Test Cell interface panel
 - 45.6.6. old style General Electric Motor-Generator Dynamometer Controller
 - 45.6.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 45.6.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
- 45.7. Existing Motor Control Center

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM DQc85664 Filed 12/11/09 Page 1 of 50

45.8.	No new In	strumentation	Booms into	Dynamometer	Test	Cell #07	renovation
-------	-----------	---------------	------------	-------------	------	----------	------------

- 45.9. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine
 Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation; approximately half the
 size of Cell #13 renovation
- 45.10. plaintiff designed, engineered Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system into Dynamometer Test Cell #07
- 45.11. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation; approximately half the size of Cell #13 renovation
- 45.12. plaintiff designed and specified new Operator's Console; same supplier as Chassis Dynamometer renovation
- 45.13. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:
 - 45.13.1. new Hemi-anechoic Chamber
 - 45.13.2. new Operator's Console
 - 45.13.3. new CPI Front-end equipment
 - 45.13.4. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
 - 45.13.5. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
 - 45.13.6. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers
- 45.14. Generated the required documentation for the design of (approximately half the size of Cell #13 renovation)
 - 45.14.1, new CPI Front-end equipment
 - 45.14.2. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
 - 45.14.3. new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
 - 45.14.4. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
 - 45.14.5. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration as required
 - 45.14.6. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
 - 45.14.7. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
 - 45.14.8. existing Motor Control Center

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c8fneft49 Filed 12/11/09 Page 2 of 50

- 45.15. Project management and project coordination of work activity between supplier of Hemi-anechoic Chamber, General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried personnel, software personnel and UAW personnel
- 45.16. Provided detailed startup assisted for:
 - 45.16.1. new CPI Front-end equipment (can be verified with Karl Klida)
 - 45.16.2. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
 - 45.16.3. new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
 - 45.16.4. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
 - 45.16.5. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
 - 45.16.6. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
 - 45.16.7. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
 - 45.16.8. existing Motor Control Center
- 45.17. to help the reader to understand the magnitude of Dynamometer Test Cell #07
 Renovation with New Hemi-anechoic Chamber Compare the reader would have to
 compare Cell #07 with Cell #06 (a Hemi-anechoic Chamber designed and installed inhouse by General Motors prior to plaintiff working in the Dynamometer Wing)
- 45.18. contact Steve Kaatz and Don Do-zon-berry to verify Dynamometer Test Cell #07 Renovation with New Hemi-anechoic Chamber
- 45.19. plaintiff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #07 => Basically nothing

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 3 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

46. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Renovation with New Control Consoles

- 46.1. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 was used for measuring engine noise
- 46.2. When plaintiff renovated Dynamometer Test Cell #06 the Test Cell was single-ended Dynamometer Test Cell
- 46.3. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 renovation was more limited in scope than compared to Dynamometer Test Cell #07
- 46.4. the renovation included the reuse of an existing General Motors design and General Motors built Hemi-anechoic Chamber
- 46.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic

 Controller into Dynamometer Test Cell #06 renovation; approximately half the size of

 Cell #13 renovation
 - 46.5.1. Including interfacing to:
 - 46.5.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 46.5.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 46.5.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 46.5.5. Manual push button Test Cell interface panel
 - 46.5.6. old style General Electric Motor-Generator Dynamometer Controller
 - 46.5.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 46.5.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
- 46.6. Existing Motor Control Center
- 46.7. No new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #06 renovation
- 46.8. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #06 renovation; approximately half the size of Cell #13 renovation
- 46.9. plaintiff designed and specified new Operator's Console; same supplier as Chassis Dynamometer renovation and Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation
- 46.10. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with 46.10.1. new Operator's Console

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c8h Pht 40 Filed 12/11/09 Page 4 of 50

46.10.	2. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
46.10.	3. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
46.10.	4. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers
46.11.	Generated the required documentation for the design of (approximately half the
size o	f Cell #13 renovation)
46.11.	1. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
46.11.	2. new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
46.11.	3. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
46.11.	4. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration as required
46.11.	5. existing Motor Control Center in Factorian Center i
46.12.	Project management and project coordination of work activity between supplier of
Gene	ral Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing
salari	ed personnel, software personnel and UAW personnel
46.13.	Provided detailed startup assisted for
46.13	1. new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
46.13	2. new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
46.13	3. new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
46.13	4. new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration as required
46.13	5. existing Motor Control Center
46.14.	contact Steve Kaatz and Don Do-zon-berry to verify Dynamometer Test Cell #06
renov	vation

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 5 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

47. New Exhaust Fans

- 47.1. Prior to plaintiff, renovating a Dynamometer Test Cell basically consisted of updating one piece of equipment (like a new exhaust fan), and maybe a fresh coat of paint. Since Dynamometer Test Cell engineers and managers would come-and-go on a steady basis (Phil Mohan, Aaron Shin, Jim K-hill, Dave Thacher, Clark Bell, Steve Kaatz) after awhile the Dynamometer Test Cells were becoming a crows nest of one-of-a-kind of new equipment
- 47.2. When plaintiff designed, engineered, and project managed Dynamometer Test
 Cell #13 renovation you could honestly say this Dynamometer Test Cell looks new!
 47.2.1. plaintiff takes no credit for the initial Cell #13 Exhaust Fan and Cell #03 Exhaust
 Fan these were existing pieces of equipment;
- 47.3. Beginning approximately with Dynamometer Test Cell #07 renovation, plaintiff designed and specified all new Exhaust Fans for the all the Dynamometer Wing Test Cells
 - 47.3.1. plaintiff specified and procured approximately 18 Exhaust Fans
- 48. EDS never proved to plaintiff Emissions Range Sense and Selection control using CATS
 - 48.1. plaintiff provided design, engineering, detailed interfacing, and detailed documentation between the New CPI Front-end equipment and the Dynamometer Wing Emissions Analyzers for range sense and range selection control
 - 48.2. to verify that plaintiff knew the hardware requirements for interfacing to Emissions Analyzers for range sense and range selection control contact Thomas Lawrence or David Bjarnesen at Chrysler Corporation 37200 Amrhein, Livonia, Michigan 48150-1108; while working for DSP Technology, plaintiff was assigned to renovate one test cell renovation for Chrysler Livonia
 - 48.3. EDS was responsible for the software design and engineering for implementing range sense and range selection control between the New CPI Front-end equipment and the Dynamometer Wing Emissions Analyzers

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 12/09 Filed 12/11/09 Page 6 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

- 48.4. EDS never proved to plaintiff the software implementation of range sense and range selection control between the New CPI Front-end equipment and the Dynamometer Wing Emissions Analyzers
- 48.5. when EDS could not prove the software implementation range sense and range selection control between the New CPI Front-end equipment and the Dynamometer Wing Emissions Analyzers the project would mysteriously disappear

49. New Dynamometer Wing Ground Wire

- 49.1. the Engineering Building Dynamometer Wing electrical grounding was a crows nest of electrical grounding schemes
 - 49.1.1. Dynamometer Basement 480 VAC bus grounding
 - 49.1.2. Dynamometer grounding
 - 49.1.3. Mech Box grounding
 - 49.1.4. General 120 VAC power outlets and lighting grounding
 - 49.1.5. Instrumentation grounding
 - 49.1.6. Dynamometer Bedplate grounding
 - 49.1.7. Engine-under-test grounding
- 49.2. plaintiff designed a custom Dynamometer Wing Ground Wire scheme to begin the process of elimination the crows nest of electrical grounding schemes as each Dynamometer Test Cell was renovated
- 49.3. plaintiff would consider his Dynamometer Wing Ground Wire scheme his own unique / priority design

50. Dynamometer Test Cell #11 Renovation

- 50.1. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #11 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 50.2. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure into Dynamometer Test Cell #11 renovation; see New PLC above in resume for additional details
 - 50.2.1. Including interfacing to:
 - 50.2.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 50.2.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 50.2.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 50.2.5. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 50.2.6. Meiden AC Solid State Dynamometer Controller
 - 50.2.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 50.2.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
 - 50.2.9. New Motor Control Center
- 50.3. Aaron Trammel fabricated the Fuel System control enclosure that housed the Fuel System control solenoids
- 50.4. plaintiff incorporated new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #11 renovation; see resume above for details
- 50.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #11 renovation; see resume above for details
- 50.6. plaintiff designed, engineered Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system into Dynamometer Test Cell #11
- 50.7. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #11 renovation; see resume above for details
- 50.8. New Allen-Bardley Motor Control Center
- 50.9. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 8 of 50

	50.9.1. new C	PI Front-end equipment	members Test Coll at 1 de	
	50.9.2. new Pr	rogrammable Logic Controller hardw	vare	
	50.9.3. new M	lodicon Panelmate Video Based Man	n-Machine Interface	
	50.9.4. new E	ngine Coolant and Engine Oil Proces	ss Control equipment	
	50.9.5. new H	oneywell UDC3000 Process Control	lers 171 15 1 on pallocina	
	50.9.6. new A	llen-Bradley Motor Control Center		
50.	10. Genera	ated the required documentation for t	the design of:	
	50.10.1.	over 50 pages of documentation for	new CPI Front-end equipment	
	50.10.2.	new Programmable Logic Controlle	er hardware	
	50.10.3.	new Programmable Logic Controlle	er software programming	
	50.10.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Vide	eo Based Man-Machine Interface	
	50.10.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil	l Process Control equipment	
	50.10.6.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process	Controllers configuration	
	50.10.6.1.	one configuration for Engine Coolar	nt Process Control	
	50.10.6.2.	one configuration for Engine Oil Pro	rocess Control	
	50.10.6.3.	one configuration for Test Cell Ven	tilation and pressure control	
	50.10.7.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Dri	ive configuration	
	50.10.8.	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Dr	rive configuration	
	50.10.9.	Motor Control Center		
50.	11. Projec	t management and project coordination	on of work activity between General	
	Motors Dyna	mometer Wing salaried personnel, G	eneral Motors Emission Wing salarie	Ċ
	personnel, so	ftware personnel and UAW personne	el Char	
50.	12. Provid	ed detailed startup assisted for:		
	50.12.1.	new CPI Front-end equipment		
	50.12.2.	new Programmable Logic Controlle	er hardware	
	50.12.3.	new Programmable Logic Controlle	er software programming	
	50.12.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Vide	eo Based Man-Machine Interface	
	50.12.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil	l Process Control equipment	
	50.12.6.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process	Controllers configuration	

50.12.6.1. o	ne configuration	for Engine	Coolant Process	Control
--------------	------------------	------------	-----------------	---------

- 50.12.6.2. one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
- 50.12.6.3. one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
- 50.12.7. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 50.12.8. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 50.12.9. Motor Control Center
- 50.13. plaintiff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #11 => Basically nothing
- 51. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 51.1. starting in approximately CY2002 plaintiff transitioned from a Manual push button Test Cell interface panel to a Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 51.2. the Modicon Panelmate 2000 communicated with the Programmable Logic Controller via serial communication
 - 51.2.1. as compared to discrete wiring between the Manual push button Test Cell interface panel and the Programmable Logic Controller
 - 51.2.2. saving approximately 64 Input / Output points of discrete wiring between the Manual push button Test Cell interface panel and the Programmable Logic Controller
 - 51.3. the Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface now can fit into the Dynamometer Test Cell Operators Console
 - 51.3.1. previous versions of Modicon Panelmate products were to big for the Dynamometer Test Cell Operators Console
 - 51.4. the Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface and the Modicon 984-E685 Programmable Logic Controller work together like a hand-in-glove
 - 51.5. plaintiff designed and engineered the software programming of the Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface including:
 - 51.5.1. converting the Programmable Logic Controller software from discrete input / output references to Video Based Man-Machine Interface references
 - 51.5.2. the Dynamometer Test Cell start-up sequence ensured that

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Double 1419 Filed 12/11/09 Page 10 of 50

- 51.5.2.1. the Dynamometer Motor-Generator was enabled prior to the Dynamometer being turned on
- 51.5.2.2. the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation was enabled prior to the Dynamometer being turned on
- 51.5.2.3. without getting into all the start-up sequence details it is sufficient to note that the PLC software anticipated a specific Dynamometer Test Cell start-up sequence
- 51.6. Specified, ordered, and procured major components
 51.6.1. Including Modicon Panelmate 2000 and memory back-up module
- 51.7. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried personnel, and software personnel
- 51.8. Provided detailed startup assistance

52. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue

- 52.1. General Motors has a \$20 Million dollar legal issue
- 52.2. nobody in General Motors can figure out the problem
- 52.3. eventually General Motors asks plaintiff to try to solve the problem
 - 52.3.1. there is a General Motors Guidelines that specifies Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation depression setting of 1.0 inch water
 - 52.3.2. many years ago plaintiff told General Motors that the specification was wrong; the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation depression setting should be 0.1 inches of water not 1.0 inches of water
 - 52.3.3. General Motors basically tells plaintiff to shut-up (plaintiff was only a 5th or 6th level Project Engineer when plaintiff told General Motors that the specification was wrong)
- 52.4. when General Motors changes the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation depression setting to plaintiff recommendation of 0.1 inch water the problem is solved
- 52.5. what reward did General Motors give plaintiff for resolving General Motors \$20 Million Dollar Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue => basically nothing, not even a thank-you plaintiff
- 52.6. this can be verified by contacting Steve Kaatz or Don Du-zon-berry (General Motors Salaried engineers associated with Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Testing)
- 52.7. some time passes
- 52.8. near the end of plaintiff career with General Motors, plaintiff mentions that plaintiff resolved a \$20 Million Dollar Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue for General Motors and that General Motors did not even say thank-you
 - 52.8.1. General Motors now tells plaintiff that the Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue was worth \$2 Million dollars not \$20 Million dollars
 - 52.8.2. what financial reward did General Motors give plaintiff for resolving General Motors Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue => basically nothing
 - 52.8.3. General Motors tells plaintiff thank-you

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 12 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

53. Dynamometer Test Cell #21 Outside Anechoic Chamber

- 53.1. Dynamometer Test Cell #21 was testing active noise reduction
- 53.2. Dynamometer Test Cell #21 was more limited in scope as compared to Dynamometer Test Cell #07; nevertheless, Dynamometer Test Cell #21 had two unique aspect to its renovation
 - 53.2.1. the test equipment associated with Dynamometer Test Cell #21 active noise reduction testing needed to be outside
- 53.3. sound wave reflections from Engineering Building Emissions Wing which was in close proximity to Engineering Building, Dynamometer Test Cell #21
- 53.4. To help the reader understand what a Hemi-anechoic Chamber is imagine standing alone in an open field in the middle of Kansas or Nebraska; the sounds you make are only reflected by the ground below your feet and no sound reflections in any other direction; a Hemi-anechoic Chamber tries to simulate the experience standing alone in an open field in the middle of Kansas or Nebraska
- 53.5. plaintiff procures the installation of a new Hemi-anechoic Chamber for Dynamometer Test Cell #21
- 53.6. installed by UAW personnel

54. Dynamometer Test Cell #15 Renovation

- 54.1. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #15 renovation; see above resume for details
- 54.2. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure into Dynamometer Test Cell #15 renovation; see above resume for details
 - 54.2.1. Including interfacing to:
 - 54.2.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 54.2.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 54.2.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 54.2.5. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 54.2.6. Dynamometer Controller
 - 54.2.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 54.2.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
 - 54.2.9. New Allen Bradley Motor Control Center
- 54.3. Aaron Trammel fabricated the Fuel System control enclosure that housed the Fuel System control solenoids
- 54.4. plaintiff incorporated new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #15 renovation; see above in resume for details
- 54.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #15 renovation; see resume above for details
- 54.6. plaintiff designed, engineered Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system into Dynamometer Test Cell #15
- 54.7. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #15 renovation; see resume above for details
- 54.8. New Allen-Bardley Motor Control Center
- 54.9. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Pg 98 of 110 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 14 of 50

	54.9.1. new (CPI Front-end equipment
	54.9.2. new F	rogrammable Logic Controller hardware
	54.9.3. new M	Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	54.9.4. new E	Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	54.9.5. new H	Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers
	54.9.6. new A	Allen-Bradley Motor Control Center
54.	10. Gener	rated the required documentation for the design of:
	54.10.1.	over 50 pages of documentation for new CPI Front-end equipment
	54.10.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
	54.10.3.	new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
	54.10.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	54.10.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	54.10.6.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration
	54.10.6.1	one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
	54.10.6.2	one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
	54.10.6.3	one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
	54.10.7.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
	54.10.8.	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
	54.10.9.	Allen - Bradley Motor Control Center
54.	11. Projec	ct management and project coordination of work activity between General
	Motors Dyn	amometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried
	personnel, se	oftware personnel and UAW personnel
54.	12. Provi	ded detailed startup assisted for:
	54.12.1.	new CPI Front-end equipment
	54.12.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
	54.12.3.	new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
	54.12.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	54.12.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	54.12.6.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration

- 54.12.6.1. one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
- 54.12.6.2. one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
- 54.12.7. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 54.12.8. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 54.12.9. Allen Bradley Motor Control Center
- 54.13. plaintiff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #15 => Basically nothing

55. New Motor Control Centers

- 55.1. Prior to plaintiff, a Dynamometer Test Cell renovation basically consisted of updating one piece of equipment (like a new exhaust fan), and maybe a fresh coat of paint. Since Dynamometer Test Cell engineers and managers would come-and-go on a steady basis (Phil Mohan, Aaron Shin, Jim K-hill, Dave Thacher, Clark Bell, Steve Kaatz) after awhile the Dynamometer Test Cells were becoming a crows nest of one-of-a-kind equipment
- 55.2. plaintiff takes no credit for Cell #13 initial Motor Control Center; this was a piece of extra equipment from the Dynamometer Wing blend-house renovation project
- 55.3. after Dynamometer Test Cell #13 plaintiff continuously improved Dynamometer Test Cell renovations projects
- 55.4. plaintiff designed and specified all new Allen Bradley Motor Control Centers for Dynamometer Wing Test Cells
- 55.5. initially Dynamometer Test Cell #03 had an existing Motor Control Center, but this Motor Control Center was replaced with a Allen Bradley Motor Control Center
- 55.6. new Allen Bradley Motor Control Centers can be verified by contacting Steve
 Bull at McNaughton-McKay Electric Company Madison Heights, Michigan, the supplier
 of the new Allen-Bradley Motor Control Centers

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 16 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

56. Dynamometer Test Cell #08 Renovation

- 56.1. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new CPI front-end equipment into Dynamometer Test Cell #08 renovation; see resume above for details
- 56.2. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Programmable Logic Controller and PLC Enclosure into Dynamometer Test Cell #08 renovation; see resume above for details
 - 56.2.1. Including interfacing to:
 - 56.2.2. Dynamometer Hard Stop safety circuit
 - 56.2.3. Auxiliary temperature safety meters
 - 56.2.4. Engine and Dynamometer RPM safety meters
 - 56.2.5. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 56.2.6. Dynamometer Controller
 - 56.2.7. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Temperature Control System
 - 56.2.8. Supply and Exhaust Fan controls for Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control
 - 56.2.9. New Allen Bradley Motor Control Center
- 56.3. Aaron Trammel fabricated the Fuel System control enclosure that housed the Fuel System control solenoids
- 56.4. plaintiff incorporated new Instrumentation Booms into Dynamometer Test Cell #08 renovation; see resume above for details
- 56.5. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control into Dynamometer Test Cell #08 renovation; see resume above for details
- 56.6. plaintiff designed, engineered Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control system into Dynamometer Test Cell #08
- 56.7. plaintiff designed, engineered, and incorporated new Druck Pressure Transducers into Dynamometer Test Cell #08 renovation; see resume above for details
- New Allen-Bardley Motor Control Center
- 56.9. Specified, ordered, and procured major components associated with:

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM เป็นเป็นคือ Filed 12/11/09 Page 17 of 50

	56.9.1. new C	PI Front-end equipment
	56.9.2. new P	rogrammable Logic Controller hardware
	56.9.3. new N	Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	56.9.4. new E	ngine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	56.9.5. new H	Ioneywell UDC3000 Process Controllers
	56.9.6. new A	allen-Bradley Motor Control Center
56	.10. Gener	ated the required documentation for the design of:
	56.10.1.	over 50 pages of documentation for new CPI Front-end equipment
	56.10.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
	56.10.3.	new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
	56.10.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	56.10.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	56.10.6.	new Honeywell UDC3000 Process Controllers configuration
	56,10.6.1.	one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
	56.10.6.2.	one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
	56.10.6.3.	one configuration for Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control
	56.10.7.	Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
	56.10.8.	Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
	56.10.9.	Allen – Bradley Motor Control Center
56	.11. Projec	t management and project coordination of work activity between General
	Motors Dyna	mometer Wing salaried personnel, General Motors Emission Wing salaried
	personnel, so	oftware personnel and UAW personnel
56	.12. Provid	led detailed startup assisted for:
	56.12.1.	new CPI Front-end equipment
	56.12.2.	new Programmable Logic Controller hardware
	56.12.3.	new Programmable Logic Controller software programming
	56.12.4.	new Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	56.12.5.	new Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control equipment
	56 12 6	new Honeywell LIDC 3000 Process Controllers configuration

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9cument 4-20 Filed 12/11/09 Page 18 of 50

- 56.12.6.1. one configuration for Engine Coolant Process Control
- 56.12.6.2. one configuration for Engine Oil Process Control
- 56.12.7. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 56.12.8. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration
- 56.12.9. Allen Bradley Motor Control Center
- 56.13. plaintiff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell #08 => Basically nothing
- 57. Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation System converted to 24/7 operation
 - 57.1. when plaintiff designed, engineered Dynamometer Test Cell #13 renovation, General Motors used Dynamometer Test Cell ventilation and pressure control only when the Dynamometer Test Cell had an engine-under-test
 - 57.2. when no engine was being tested, a separate secondary Heating and Ventilation keep a minimum amount of air induced into the Dynamometer Test Cell for human comfort
 - 57.3. because gasoline and other fuels were used in a Dynamometer Test Cell some people rated the Dynamometer Test Cell Class 1, Division 1, Group D (hazardous) requirements for electrical equipment
 - 57.4. converting Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation System to 24 hours / 7 days a week operation the rating of the Dynamometer Test Cell could be reduced to non-hazardous requirements for electrical equipment
 - 57.5. remember the problem in Dynamometer Test Cell #06 with General Motors maintaining the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation depression
 - 57.6. there is also the concern of minimizing Heating and Ventilation costs
 - 57.7. plaintiff redesigned the Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation System controls to address the above concerns without adding any new major equipment
 - 57.8. plaintiff reward for renovating Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation System converted to 24 / 7 operation => Basically nothing

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c103enf 4-20 Filed 12/11/09 Page 19 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

58. Replacement of (4) Aux. Temperature Safety Meter with Modicon Analog Input Module

- 58.1. with the renovation of Dynamometer Test Cells with Programmable Logic Controllers and the continuously improved of using Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface more enhanced improvements are possible
 - 58.1.1. remember the Modicon Panelmate 2000 communicate with the Programmable Logic Controller via serial communication
 - 58.1.2. plaintiff replace the (4) Auxiliary temperature safety meters with a Modicon Analog Input Module
 - 58.1.3. less equipment to procure and stock
 - 58.1.4. less custom panels to fabricate
 - 58.1.5. greater flexibility for additional enhancements
 - 58.1.6. Aux. Temperature readings could be displayed directly on the Modicon Panelmate 2000 display

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 20 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

59. Conditioned Air Systems

- 59.1. the Condition Air System project is another example of plaintiff simultaneously designing, engineering, and / or project managing other Dynamometer Test Cell projects simultaneously with Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
- 59.2. plaintiff was responsible for the design, engineering, and project management for seven Condition Air System
- 59.3. plaintiff wrote the specifications for procurement of seven Condition Air System
- 59.4. some (not all) of the Condition Air Systems were to be located inside the Dynamometer Wing Attic exposed to extreme Summer temperature conditions (greater than 104 Degrees F)
- 59.5. General Motors specified Condition Air System temperature, humidity, and Barometric Pressure requirements
- 59.6. plaintiff provided detailed project management leadership working with the potential Condition Air System suppliers
- 59.7. plaintiff improved the design of the Condition Air System; thereby, the final construction installation costs were less than the original accepted contract price
- 59.8. Project management and project coordination of work activity between General Motors Dynamometer Wing salaried personnel, Condition Air System supplier, and construction contractor
- 59.9. Provided detailed startup assisted as needed
- 59.10. General Motors selected Environmental Tectonics Corporation, Southampton, PA 18966; plaintiff preference was to go with the other suppliers finalist located in California, USA
- 59.11. plaintiff reward for the Natural Gas compressor project => basically nothing

60. Dynamometer Wing Renovation - Project Management

- plaintiff used Timeline Project Management Software for tracking Dynamometer
 Test Cell Renovation work activity
- 60.2. plaintiff designed the Timeline flowchart for both the UAW and GM salary personal work activity (to verify Bob Welsh; plaintiff knew Bob Welsh as the highest ranking UAW representative in GM Technical Center, Engineering Building, Warren, Michigan from approximately CY1989 to CY1995)
 - 60.2.1. plaintiff updated the Timeline flowchart as needed
 - 60.2.2. plaintiff distributed updated Timeline flowcharts to the appropriate UAW and GM salary personal
- 60.3. plaintiff generated an appropriation for the renovation of 15 Dynamometer Test
 Cells
- 60.4. plaintiff procured and approved major components associated with Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
- 60.5. plaintiff directed General Motors Salary personnel in starting-up Dynamometer Test Cell renovations
- 60.6. Documentation of Dynamometer Wing Renovation project activity including
 - 60.6.1. major equipment purchases
 - 60.6.2. CPI front-end equipment interfacing wire listings
 - 60.6.3. PLC enclosure hardware drawings
 - 60.6.4. PLC software program documentation
 - 60.6.5. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Man-Machine interface documentation
 - 60.6.6. UDC 3000 Process Controller Configuration documentation
 - 60.6.6.1. for Engine Coolant Temperature control
 - 60.6.6.2. for Engine Oil Temperature control
 - 60.6.6.3. for Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation control
 - 60.6.7. Documenting capital equipment purchase by tagging capital equipment with General Motors Property tags; (this can be verified by contacting Andy Vir-ros-tek)

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-20 Filed 12/11/09 Page 22 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

61. Project Management / Leadership - Unique solutions

- 61.1. Programmable Logic Controllers integrated into Emissions Analysis Systems; (see resume above for details); Don Nagy of General Motors Milford Proving Grounds specifically stated that Programmable Logic Controllers has been tried by General Motors before and cannot be made to work for Emission Analysis Systems applications
- 61.2. DSP Combustion Analysis System Several years later; (see resume above for details); General Motors Corporation and DSP Technology had a problem with the DSP Combustion Analysis Systems that General Motors Corporation could not solve nor could DSP Technology solve
- 61.3. Dynamometer Test Cell #13 Renovation; (see resume above for details); the first modern, integrated Dynamometer Test Cell renovation at the General Motors Technical Center; completed in CY1990
- 61.4. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue; (see resume above for details); General Motors has a \$20 Million dollar legal issue and nobody in General Motors can figure out the problem; eventually, General Motors asks plaintiff to try to solve the problem
- 61.5. New Dynamometer Wing Ground Wire; (see resume above for details); the Engineering Building Dynamometer Wing electrical grounding was a crows nest of electrical grounding schemes

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c197e Pt 4-10 Filed 12/11/09 Page 23 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

62. Project Management / Leadership - Major Accomplishments for which plaintiff did not receive a bonus

- 62.1. Emission Wing Renovation Design Coordination; (see above resume for details)
- 62.2. Emissions Wing Renovation Project Management; (see above resume for details)
- 62.3. Dynamometer Test Cell #13 Renovation; (see above resume for details)
- 62.4. Dynamometer Test Cell #07 Renovation with New Hemi-anechoic Chamber; (see above resume for details)
- 62.5. Dynamometer Test Cell #11 Renovation; (see above resume for details)
- 62.6. Dynamometer Test Cell #15 Renovation; (see above resume for details)
- 62.7. Dynamometer Test Cell #08 Renovation; (see above resume for details)
- 62.8. Integration of New Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) and Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
- 62.9. plaintiff took the first modern, integrated Dynamometer Test Cell renovation at the General Motors Technical Center and advanced it to the next higher level

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4
Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM Document 4-2 Filed 12/11/09 Page 24 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

63. Project Management – For all practical purpose plaintiff ran the Emissions Wing Renovation and Dynamometer Wing Renovation

- 63.1. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Ward Wiers on a daily basis, weekly basis, or monthly basis
 - 63.1.1. if Ward Wiers had been in a hospital, plaintiff would not have noticed a significant absence during the day-to-day Project Management of the Emissions Wing Renovation
- 63.2. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Dennis Wiese on a daily basis, weekly basis, or monthly basis
 - 63.2.1. if Dennis Wiese had been in a hospital, plaintiff would not have noticed a significant absence during the day-to-day Project Management of the Emissions Wing Renovation
- 63.3. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Jerry Fairbanks on a daily basis, weekly basis, or monthly basis
 - 63.3.1. if Jerry Fairbanks had been in a hospital, plaintiff would not have noticed a significant absence during the day-to-day Project Management of the Dynamometer Wing Renovation
- 63.4. plaintiff did not revive or ask approval from Jim Thorsen on a daily basis, weekly basis, or monthly basis
 - 63.4.1. if Jim Thorsen had been in a hospital, plaintiff would not have noticed a significant absence during the day-to-day Project Management of the Dynamometer Wing Renovation

64. Earned the monetary compensation of 3X, 4X, 5X, 6X, 7X, 8X, or 9X his salary compensation

- 64.1. one Instrumentation engineer
 - 64.1.1. Instrumentation Console and Custom Enclosure
 - 64.1.2. Emissions Test Site Instrumentation Patch Panel
 - 64.1.3. 12-Channel Strip Chart Recorder and Custom Enclosure
 - 64.1.4. Druck Pressure Transducers
 - 64.1.5. New CPI Front-end Equipment
- 64.2. one Electrical engineer
 - 64.2.1. Programmable Logic Controller
 - 64.2.2. Overhead Door Logic Controls
 - 64.2.3. New Dynamometer Ground Wire
 - 64.2.4. Modicon Panelmate 2000 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
 - 64.2.5. New Motor Control Centers
 - 64.2.6. the reader has to remember that in the late-1980's a Personal Computer might only have 640 to 1,024 kilobytes of memory (over 1000 times smaller than modern Personal Computers); therefore, each major application like the Programmable Logic Controllers might have its own stand-alone programming device
- 64.3. one Process Controls engineer
 - 64.3.1. Engine Coolant and Engine Oil Process Control
 - 64.3.2. Dynamometer Test Cell Supply Fan and Exhaust Fan Ventilation Pressure control
 - 64.3.3. Dynamometer Test Cell #06 Legal Issue
 - 64.3.4. Dynamometer Test Cell Ventilation System converted to 24/7 operation
 - 64.3.5. Replacement of (4) Aux. Temperature Safety Meter with Modicon Analog Input
 - 64.3.6. the reader has to remember that in the late-1980's the modern 3 GHz Personal Computer with 2 Gigabyte plus of memory did not exist; therefore, each engineering discipline would have been assigned to different individuals
- 64.4. one Mechanical engineer
 - 64.4.1. Tylan Mass Flow Controllers

09-50026-mg Doc 9093-5 Filed 02/04/11 Entered 02/04/11 17:42:53 B Part 4 Case 2:09-cv-14827-JAC-VMM B9c1ment 12/09 Filed 12/11/09 Page 26 of 50

Stasko v General Motors Corporation - Stanley R. Stasko Resume

	64.4.2. Samp	le Conditioning Unit	
	64.4.3. Overh	nead Track System	
	64.4.4. Fuel N	Meter Calibration Cart	
	64.4.5. Fuel I	njector Test Stand renov	vation
	64.4.6. New I	Instrumentation Booms	
	64.4.7. Natur	al Gas Compressor	
	64.4.8. New 1	Exhaust Fans	
	64.4.9. Condi	itioned Air Systems	
64	.5. one Pr	roject Manager	
	64.5.1. Emiss	sions Wing Renovation	- Design Coordination and Project Management
	64.5.2. Dynar	mometer Test Cell #13,	#03, #07, #06, #11, #15, #08, and Chassis
	Dynamo	ometer Renovation	
64	.6. one A	autoCAD and one techni	ical designer to generate the documentation
	64.6.1. Auto(CAD Drawings	
	64.6.2. over 5	50 pages of documentati	on for new CPI Front-end equipment
	64.6.3. new F	Programmable Logic Co	ntroller hardware documentation
	64.6.4. new P	Programmable Logic Co	ntroller software documentation
	64.6.5. new N	Modicon Panelmate 200	0 Video Based Man-Machine Interface
	docume	ntation	
	64.6.6. new E	Engine Coolant and Eng	ine Oil Process Control equipment documentation
	64.6.7. new F	Honeywell UDC3000 Pr	ocess Controllers configuration documentation
	64.6.7.1.	one configuration for	Engine Coolant Process Control
	64.6.7.2.	one configuration for	Engine Oil Process Control
	64.6.7.3.	one configuration for	Test Cell Ventilation and pressure control

64.6.8. Supply Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration64.6.9. Exhaust Fan Variable Frequency Drive configuration

64.7.1. over 50 pages of documentation for new CPI Front-end equipment